arXiv:1204.6515v1 [math.CO] 29 Apr 2012

A Sharp Bound for the Circumference in t-tough graphs with $t > 1$

Zh.G. Nikoghosyan*

March 3, 2013

Abstract

It is proved that if G is a t-tough graph of order n and minimum degree δ with $t > 1$ then either G has a cycle of length at least $\min\{n, 2\delta + 5\}$ or G is the Petersen graph.

Key words: Hamilton cycle, circumference, minimum degree, toughness.

1 Introduction

Only finite undirected graphs without loops or multiple edges are considered. We reserve n, δ, κ, c and τ to denote the number of vertices (order), the minimum degree, connectivity, circumference and the toughness of a graph, respectively. A good reference for any undefined terms is [2].

The earliest lower bound for the circumference was developed in 1952 due to Dirac [3].

Theorem A [3]. In every 2-connected graph, $c \geq \min\{n, 2\delta\}$.

In 1986, Bauer and Schmeichel [1] proved that the bound 2δ in Theorem A can be enlarged to $2\delta + 2$ by replacing the 2-connectivity condition with 1-toughness.

Theorem B [1]. In every 1-tough graph, $c \geq \min\{n, 2\delta + 2\}$.

In this paper we prove that in Theorem B the bound $2\delta + 2$ itself can be enlarged up to $2\delta + 5$ if $\tau > 1$ and G is not the Petersen graph.

Theorem 1. Let G be a graph with $\tau > 1$. Then either $c \geq \min\{n, 2\delta + 5\}$ or G is the Petersen graph.

---

*G.G. Nicoghossian (up to 1997)

The next result follows immediately.

Corollary 1. Let G be a graph with $\tau > 1$. If $\delta \geq (n-5)/2$ then G either is hamiltonian or is the Petersen graph.

To prove Theorem 1, we need the following result due to Voss [4].

Theorem C [4]. Let G be a hamiltonian graph, $\{v_1, v_2, ..., v_t\} \subseteq V(G)$ and $d(v_i) \geq t$ $(i = 1, 2, ..., t)$. Then each pair x, y of vertices of G is connected in G by a path of length at least t.

2 Notations and preliminaries

The set of vertices of a graph G is denoted by $V(G)$ and the set of edges by $E(G)$. For S a subset of $V(G)$, we denote by $G \backslash S$ the maximum subgraph of G with vertex set $V(G) \backslash S$. We write $G[S]$ for the subgraph of G induced by S. For a subgraph H of G we use $G \backslash H$ short for $G \backslash V(H)$. The neighborhood of a vertex $x \in V(G)$ will be denoted by $N(x)$. Furthermore, for a subgraph H of G and $x \in V(G)$, we define $N_H(x) = N(x) \cap V(H)$ and $d_H(x) = |N_H(x)|$. Let $s(G)$ denote the number of components of a graph G. A graph G is t-tough if $|S| \geq ts(G \backslash S)$ for every subset S of the vertex set $V(G)$ with $s(G \backslash S) > 1$. The toughness of G, denoted $\tau(G)$, is the maximum value of t for which G is t-tough (taking $\tau(K_n) = \infty$ for all $n \geq 1$).

A simple cycle (or just a cycle) C of length t is a sequence $v_1 v_2 ... v_t v_1$ of distinct vertices $v_1, ..., v_t$ with $v_i v_{i+1} \in E(G)$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., t\}$, where $v_{t+1} = v_1$. When $t = 2$, the cycle $C = v_1 v_2 v_1$ on two vertices v_1, v_2 coincides with the edge $v_1 v_2$, and when $t = 1$, the cycle $C = v_1$ coincides with the vertex v_1. So, all vertices and edges in a graph can be considered as cycles of lengths 1 and 2, respectively. A graph G is hamiltonian if G contains a Hamilton cycle, i.e. a cycle of length n. A cycle C in G is dominating if $G \backslash C$ is edgeless.

Paths and cycles in a graph G are considered as subgraphs of G. If Q is a path or a cycle, then the length of Q, denoted by $|Q|$, is $|E(Q)|$. We write Q with a given orientation by $\overrightarrow{Q}$. For $x, y \in V(Q)$, we denote by $x \overrightarrow{Q} y$ the subpath of Q in the chosen direction from x to y. For $x \in V(C)$, we denote the h-th successor and the h-th predecessor of x on $\overrightarrow{C}$ by x^{+h} and x^{-h}, respectively. We abbreviate x^{+1} and x^{-1} by x^+ and x^-, respectively. For each $X \subset V(C)$, we define $X^{+h} = \{x^{+h} | x \in X\}$ and $X^{-h} = \{x^{-h} | x \in X\}$.

Special definitions. Let G be a graph, C a longest cycle in G and $P = x \overrightarrow{P} y$ a longest path in $G \backslash C$ of length $\overline{p} \geq 0$. Let $\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_s$ be the elements of $N_C(x) \cup N_C(y)$ occuring on C in a consecutive order. Set

$$I_i = \xi_i \overrightarrow{C} \xi_{i+1}, \ I_i^* = \xi_i^+ \overrightarrow{C} \xi_{i+1}^- \quad (i = 1, 2, ..., s),$$

where $\xi_{s+1} = \xi_1$.

(1) The segments $I_1, I_2, ..., I_s$ are called elementary segments on C induced by $N_C(x) \cup N_C(y)$.

(2) We call a path $L = z\overrightarrow{L}w$ an intermediate path between two distinct elementary segments I_a and I_b if

$$z \in V(I_a^*),\ w \in V(I_b^*),\ V(L) \cap V(C \cup P) = \{z, w\}.$$

(3) Define $\Upsilon(I_{i_1}, I_{i_2}, ..., I_{i_t})$ to be the set of all intermediate paths between elementary segments $I_{i_1}, I_{i_2}, ..., I_{i_t}$.

Lemma 1. Let G be a graph, C a longest cycle in G and $P = x\overrightarrow{P}y$ a longest path in $G\backslash C$ of length $\overline{p} \geq 1$. If $|N_C(x)| \geq 2$, $|N_C(y)| \geq 2$ and $N_C(x) \neq N_C(y)$ then

$$|C| \geq \begin{cases} 3\delta + \max\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} - 1 \geq 3\delta & \text{if} \quad \overline{p} = 1, \\ \max\{2\overline{p} + 8, 4\delta - 2\overline{p}\} & \text{if} \quad \overline{p} \geq 2, \end{cases}$$

where $\sigma_1 = |N_C(x)\backslash N_C(y)|$ and $\sigma_2 = |N_C(y)\backslash N_C(x)|$.

Lemma 2. Let G be a graph, C a longest cycle in G and $P = x\overrightarrow{P}y$ a longest path in $G\backslash C$ of length $\overline{p} \geq 0$. If $N_C(x) = N_C(y)$ and $|N_C(x)| \geq 2$ then for each elementary segments I_a and I_b induced by $N_C(x) \cup N_C(y)$,

(a1) if L is an intermediate path between I_a and I_b then

$$|I_a| + |I_b| \geq 2\overline{p} + 2|L| + 4,$$

(a2) if $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b) \subseteq E(G)$ and $|\Upsilon(I_a, I_b)| = i$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, 3\}$ then

$$|I_a| + |I_b| \geq 2\overline{p} + i + 5,$$

(a3) if $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b) \subseteq E(G)$ and $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b)$ contains two independent intermediate edges then

$$|I_a| + |I_b| \geq 2\overline{p} + 8.$$

Lemma 3. Let G be a graph and C a longest cycle in G. Then either $|C| \geq \kappa(\delta + 1)$ or there is a longest path $P = x_1\overrightarrow{P}x_2$ in $G\backslash C$ with $|N_C(x_i)| \geq 2$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

3 Proofs

Proof of Lemma 1. Put

$$A_1 = N_C(x)\backslash N_C(y),\ A_2 = N_C(y)\backslash N_C(x),\ M = N_C(x) \cap N_C(y).$$

By the hypothesis, $N_C(x) \neq N_C(y)$, implying that

$$\max\{|A_1|, |A_2|\} \geq 1.$$

Let $\xi_1, \xi_2, ..., \xi_s$ be the elements of $N_C(x) \cup N_C(y)$ occuring on C in a consecutive order. Put $I_i = \xi_i \overrightarrow{C} \xi_{i+1}$ $(i = 1, 2, ..., s)$, where $\xi_{s+1} = \xi_1$. Clearly, $s = |A_1| + |A_2| + |M|$. Since C is extreme, $|I_i| \geq 2$ $(i = 1, 2, ..., s)$. Next, if $\{\xi_i, \xi_{i+1}\} \cap M \neq \emptyset$ for some $i \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}$ then $|I_i| \geq \overline{p} + 2$. Further, if either $\xi_i \in A_1$, $\xi_{i+1} \in A_2$ or $\xi_i \in A_2$, $\xi_{i+1} \in A_1$ then again $|I_i| \geq \overline{p} + 2$.

Case 1. $\overline{p} = 1$.

Case 1.1. $|A_i| \geq 1$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

It follows that among $I_1, I_2, ..., I_s$ there are $|M| + 2$ segments of length at least $\overline{p} + 2$. Observing also that each of the remaining $s - (|M| + 2)$ segments has a length at least 2, we have

$$|C| \geq (\overline{p} + 2)(|M| + 2) + 2(s - |M| - 2)$$

$$= 3(|M| + 2) + 2(|A_1| + |A_2| - 2)$$

$$= 2|A_1| + 2|A_2| + 3|M| + 2.$$

Since $|A_1| = d(x) - |M| - 1$ and $|A_2| = d(y) - |M| - 1$,

$$|C| \geq 2d(x) + 2d(y) - |M| - 2 \geq 3\delta + d(x) - |M| - 2.$$

Recalling that $d(x) = |M| + |A_1| + 1$, we get

$$|C| \geq 3\delta + |A_1| - 1 = 3\delta + \sigma_1 - 1.$$

Analogously, $|C| \geq 3\delta + \sigma_2 - 1$. So,

$$|C| \geq 3\delta + \max\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} - 1 \geq 3\delta.$$

Case 1.2. Either $|A_1| \geq 1, |A_2| = 0$ or $|A_1| = 0, |A_2| \geq 1$.

Assume w.l.o.g. that $|A_1| \geq 1$ and $|A_2| = 0$, i.e. $|N_C(y)| = |M| \geq 2$ and $s = |A_1| + |M|$. Hence, among $I_1, I_2, ..., I_s$ there are $|M| + 1$ segments of length at least $\overline{p} + 2 = 3$. Taking into account that each of the remaining $s - (|M| + 1)$ segments has a length at least 2 and $|M| + 1 = d(y)$, we get

$$|C| \geq 3(|M| + 1) + 2(s - |M| - 1) = 3d(y) + 2(|A_1| - 1)$$

$$\geq 3\delta + |A_1| - 1 = 3\delta + \max\{\sigma_1, \sigma_2\} - 1 \geq 3\delta.$$

Case 2. $\overline{p} \geq 2$.

We first prove that $|C| \geq 2\overline{p} + 8$. Since $|N_C(x)| \geq 2$ and $|N_C(y)| \geq 2$, there are at least two segments among $I_1, I_2, ..., I_s$ of length at least $\overline{p} + 2$. If $|M| = 0$ then clearly $s \geq 4$ and

$$|C| \geq 2(\overline{p} + 2) + 2(s - 2) \geq 2\overline{p} + 8.$$

Otherwise, since $\max\{|A_1|, |A_2|\} \geq 1$, there are at least three elementary segments of length at least $\overline{p} + 2$, that is

$$|C| \geq 3(\overline{p} + 2) \geq 2\overline{p} + 8.$$

So, in any case, $|C|\geq 2\overline{p}+8$.

To prove that $|C|\geq 4\delta-2\overline{p}$, we distinguish two main cases.

Case 2.1. $|A_i|\geq 1$ $(i=1,2)$.

It follows that among $I_1,I_2,...,I_s$ there are $|M|+2$ segments of length at least $\overline{p}+2$. Further, since each of the remaining $s-(|M|+2)$ segments has a length at least 2, we get

$$|C|\geq(\overline{p}+2)(|M|+2)+2(s-|M|-2)$$
$$=(\overline{p}-2)|M|+(2\overline{p}+4|M|+4)+2(|A_1|+|A_2|-2)$$
$$\geq 2|A_1|+2|A_2|+4|M|+2\overline{p}.$$

Observing also that

$$|A_1|+|M|+\overline{p}\geq d(x),\quad |A_2|+|M|+\overline{p}\geq d(y),$$

we have

$$2|A_1|+2|A_2|+4|M|+2\overline{p}$$
$$\geq 2d(x)+2d(y)-2\overline{p}\geq 4\delta-2\overline{p},$$

implying that $|C|\geq 4\delta-2\overline{p}$.

Case 2.2. Either $|A_1|\geq 1,|A_2|=0$ or $|A_1|=0,|A_2|\geq 1$.

Assume w.l.o.g. that $|A_1|\geq 1$ and $|A_2|=0$, i.e. $|N_C(y)|=|M|\geq 2$ and $s=|A_1|+|M|$. It follows that among $I_1,I_2,...,I_s$ there are $|M|+1$ segments of length at least $\overline{p}+2$. Observing also that $|M|+\overline{p}\geq d(y)\geq\delta$, i.e. $2\overline{p}+4|M|\geq 4\delta-2\overline{p}$, we get

$$|C|\geq(\overline{p}+2)(|M|+1)\geq(\overline{p}-2)(|M|-1)+2\overline{p}+4|M|$$
$$\geq 2\overline{p}+4|M|\geq 4\delta-2\overline{p}.\qquad\blacksquare$$

Proof of Lemma 2. Let $\xi_1,\xi_2,...,\xi_s$ be the elements of $N_C(x)$ occuring on C in a consecutive order. Put $I_i=\xi_i\overrightarrow{C}\xi_{i+1}$ $(i=1,2,...,s)$, where $\xi_{s+1}=\xi_1$. To prove $(a1)$, let $L=z\overrightarrow{L}w$ be an intermediate path between elementary segments I_a and I_b with $z\in V(I_a^*)$ and $w\in V(I_b^*)$. Put

$$|\xi_a\overrightarrow{C}z|=d_1,\ |z\overrightarrow{C}\xi_{a+1}|=d_2,\ |\xi_b\overrightarrow{C}w|=d_3,\ |w\overrightarrow{C}\xi_{b+1}|=d_4,$$
$$C'=\xi_a x\overrightarrow{P}y\xi_b\overleftarrow{C}z\overrightarrow{L}w\overrightarrow{C}\xi_a.$$

Clearly,

$$|C'|=|C|-d_1-d_3+|L|+|P|+2.$$

Since C is extreme, we have $|C|\geq|C'|$, implying that $d_1+d_3\geq\overline{p}+|L|+2$. By a symmetric argument, $d_2+d_4\geq\overline{p}+|L|+2$. Hence

$$|I_a|+|I_b|=\sum_{i=1}^{4}d_i\geq 2\overline{p}+2|L|+4.$$

The proof of $(a1)$ is complete. To proof $(a2)$ and $(a3)$, let $\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)\subseteq E(G)$ and $|\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)|=i$ for some $i\in\{1,2,3\}$.

Case 1. $i=1$.

It follows that $\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)$ consists of a unique intermediate edge $L=zw$. By (a1),

$$|I_a|+|I_b|\ge 2\overline{p}+2|L|+4=2\overline{p}+6.$$

Case 2. $i=2$.

It follows that $\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)$ consists of two edges e_1,e_2. Put $e_1=z_1w_1$ and $e_2=z_2w_2$, where $\{z_1,z_2\}\subseteq V(I_a^*)$ and $\{w_1,w_2\}\subseteq V(I_b^*)$.

Case 2.1. $z_1\ne z_2$ and $w_1\ne w_2$.

Assume w.l.o.g. that z_1 and z_2 occur in this order on I_a.

Case 2.1.1. w_2 and w_1 occur in this order on I_b.

Put

$$|\xi_a\overrightarrow{C}z_1|=d_1,\ |z_1\overrightarrow{C}z_2|=d_2,\ |z_2\overrightarrow{C}\xi_{a+1}|=d_3,$$

$$|\xi_b\overrightarrow{C}w_2|=d_4,\ |w_2\overrightarrow{C}w_1|=d_5,\ |w_1\overrightarrow{C}\xi_{b+1}|=d_6,$$

$$C'=\xi_a\overrightarrow{C}z_1w_1\overleftarrow{C}w_2z_2\overrightarrow{C}\xi_bx\overrightarrow{P}y\xi_{b+1}\overrightarrow{C}\xi_a.$$

Clearly,

$$|C'|=|C|-d_2-d_4-d_6+|\{e_1\}|+|\{e_2\}|+|P|+2$$

$$=|C|-d_2-d_4-d_6+\overline{p}+4.$$

Since C is extreme, $|C|\ge|C'|$, implying that $d_2+d_4+d_6\ge\overline{p}+4$. By a symmetric argument, $d_1+d_3+d_5\ge\overline{p}+4$. Hence

$$|I_a|+|I_b|=\sum_{i=1}^{6}d_i\ge 2\overline{p}+8.$$

Case 2.1.2. w_1 and w_2 occur in this order on I_b.

Putting

$$C'=\xi_a\overrightarrow{C}z_1w_1\overrightarrow{C}w_2z_2\overrightarrow{C}\xi_bx\overrightarrow{P}y\xi_{b+1}\overrightarrow{C}\xi_a,$$

we can argue as in Case 2.1.1.

Case 2.2. Either $z_1=z_2$, $w_1\ne w_2$ or $z_1\ne z_2$, $w_1=w_2$.

Assume w.l.o.g. that $z_1\ne z_2$, $w_1=w_2$ and z_1,z_2 occur in this order on I_a. Put

$$|\xi_a\overrightarrow{C}z_1|=d_1,\ |z_1\overrightarrow{C}z_2|=d_2,\ |z_2\overrightarrow{C}\xi_{a+1}|=d_3,$$

$$|\xi_b\overrightarrow{C}w_1|=d_4,\ |w_1\overrightarrow{C}\xi_{b+1}|=d_5,$$

$$C'=\xi_ax\overrightarrow{P}y\xi_b\overleftarrow{C}z_1w_1\overrightarrow{C}\xi_a,$$

$$C''=\xi_a\overrightarrow{C}z_2w_1\overleftarrow{C}\xi_{a+1}x\overrightarrow{P}y\xi_{b+1}\overrightarrow{C}\xi_a.$$

Clearly,

$$|C'| = |C| - d_1 - d_4 + |\{e_1\}| + |P| + 2 = |C| - d_1 - d_4 + \overline{p} + 3,$$

$$|C''| = |C| - d_3 - d_5 + |\{e_2\}| + |P| + 2 = |C| - d_3 - d_5 + \overline{p} + 3.$$

Since C is extreme, $|C| \geq |C'|$ and $|C| \geq |C''|$, implying that

$$d_1 + d_4 \geq \overline{p} + 3, \ d_3 + d_5 \geq \overline{p} + 3.$$

Hence,

$$|I_a| + |I_b| = \sum_{i=1}^{5} d_i \geq d_1 + d_3 + d_4 + d_5 + 1 \geq 2\overline{p} + 7.$$

Case 3. $i = 3$.

It follows that $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b)$ consists of three edges e_1, e_2, e_3. Let $e_i = z_i w_i$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$, where $\{z_1, z_2, z_3\} \subseteq V(I_a^*)$ and $\{w_1, w_2, w_3\} \subseteq V(I_b^*)$. If there are two independent edges among e_1, e_2, e_3 then we can argue as in Case 2.1. Otherwise, we can assume w.l.o.g. that $w_1 = w_2 = w_3$ and z_1, z_2, z_3 occur in this order on I_a. Put

$$|\xi_a \overrightarrow{C} z_1| = d_1, \ |z_1 \overrightarrow{C} z_2| = d_2, \ |z_2 \overrightarrow{C} z_3| = d_3,$$

$$|z_3 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_{a+1}| = d_4, \ |\xi_b \overrightarrow{C} w_1| = d_5, \ |w_1 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_{b+1}| = d_6,$$

$$C' = \xi_a x \overrightarrow{P} y \xi_b \overleftarrow{C} z_1 w_1 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_a,$$

$$C'' = \xi_a \overrightarrow{C} z_3 w_1 \overleftarrow{C} \xi_{a+1} x \overrightarrow{P} y \xi_{b+1} \overrightarrow{C} \xi_a.$$

Clearly,

$$|C'| = |C| - d_1 - d_5 + |\{e_1\}| + \overline{p} + 2,$$

$$|C''| = |C| - d_4 - d_6 + |\{e_3\}| + \overline{p} + 2.$$

Since C is extreme, we have $|C| \geq |C'|$ and $|C| \geq |C''|$, implying that

$$d_1 + d_5 \geq \overline{p} + 3, \ d_4 + d_6 \geq \overline{p} + 3.$$

Hence,

$$|I_a| + |I_b| = \sum_{i=1}^{6} d_i \geq d_1 + d_4 + d_5 + d_6 + 2 \geq 2\overline{p} + 8. \qquad \blacksquare$$

Proof of Lemma 3. Choose a longest path $P = x_1 \overrightarrow{P} x_2$ in $G \backslash C$ so as to maximize $|N_C(x_1)|$. Let $y_1, ..., y_t$ be the elements of $N_P^+(x_2)$ occuring on P in a consecutive order. Put

$$P_i = x_1 \overrightarrow{P} y_i^- x_2 \overleftarrow{P} y_i \ (i = 1, ..., t), \quad H = G[V(y_1^- \overrightarrow{P} x_2)].$$

Since P_i is a longest path in $G \backslash C$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., t\}$, we can assume w.l.o.g. that P is chosen such that $|V(H)|$ is maximum. It follows in particular that

$N_P(y_i) \subseteq V(H)$ $(i=1,...,t)$.

Case 1. $|N_C(x_1)|=0$.

Since $|N_C(x_1)|$ is maximum, we have $|N_C(y_i)|=0$ $(i=1,...,t)$, implying that $N(y_i)\subseteq V(H)$ and $d_H(y_i)=d(y_i)\geq\delta$ $(i=1,...,t)$. Further, since $y_t=x_2$, we have $d_P(x_2)\geq\delta$, that is $t\geq\delta$. By Theorem C, for each distinct $u,v\in V(H)$, there is a path in H of length at least δ, connecting u and v. Since H and C are connected by at least κ vertex disjoint paths, we have $|C|\geq\kappa(\delta+2)$.

Case 2. $|N_C(x_1)|=1$.

Since $|N_C(x_1)|$ is maximum, we have $|N_C(y_i)|\leq 1$ $(i=1,...,t)$, implying that $|N_H(y_i)|\geq\delta-1$ $(i=1,...,t)$, where $t\geq\delta-1$. By Theorem C, $|C|\geq\kappa(\delta+1)$.

Case 3. $|N_C(x_1)|\geq 2$.

If $|N_C(y_i)|\geq 2$ for some $i\in\{1,...,t\}$ then we are done. Otherwise $|N_C(y_i)|\leq 1$ $(i=1,...,t)$ and, as in Case 2, $|C|\geq\kappa(\delta+1)$. ■

Proof of Theorem 1. If $\kappa\leq 2$ then clearly $\tau\leq 1$, contradicting the hypothesis. Next, if $c\geq 2\delta+5$ then we are done. So, we can assume that

$$\delta\geq\kappa\geq 3,\quad c\leq 2\delta+4. \tag{1}$$

Let C be a longest cycle in G and $P=x_1\overrightarrow{P}x_2$ a longest path in $G\backslash C$ of length $\overline{p}$. If $|V(P)|\leq 0$ then C is a Hamilton cycle and we are done. Let $|V(P)|\geq 1$. Put $X=N_C(x_1)\cup N_C(x_2)$ and let $\xi_1,...,\xi_s$ be the elements of X occuring on C in a consecutive order. Put

$$I_i=\xi_i\overrightarrow{C}\xi_{i+1},\ I_i^*=\xi_i^+\overrightarrow{C}\xi_{i+1}^-\quad(i=1,...,s),$$

where $\xi_{s+1}=\xi_1$.

Claim 1. Let $N_C(x_1)=N_C(x_2)$ and let ξ_a,ξ_b be two distinct elements of X. If either $|\xi_a\overrightarrow{C}y|+|\xi_b\overrightarrow{C}z|\leq\overline{p}+2$ or $|y\overrightarrow{C}\xi_{a+1}|+|z\overrightarrow{C}\xi_{b+1}|\leq\overline{p}+2$ for some $y\in V(I_a^*)$ and $z\in V(I_b^*)$, then $yz\notin E(G)$.

Proof. Assume the contrary, that is $yz\in E(G)$. If $|\xi_a\overrightarrow{C}y|+|\xi_b\overrightarrow{C}z|\leq\overline{p}+2$ then

$$|\xi_a x_1\overrightarrow{P}x_2\xi_b\overleftarrow{C}yz\overrightarrow{C}\xi_a|=|C|-|\xi_a\overrightarrow{C}y|-|\xi_b\overrightarrow{C}z|+\overline{p}+3\geq|C|+1,$$

a contradiction. By a symmetric argument, we reach a contradiction when $|y\overrightarrow{C}\xi_{a+1}|+|z\overrightarrow{C}\xi_{b+1}|\leq\overline{p}+2$. Δ

Case 1. $\overline{p}=0$.

It follows that $P=x_1$ and $s=d(x_1)\geq\delta\geq 3$. The next claim can be derived from (1) and Lemma 2 easily.

Claim 2. (1) $|I_i|+|I_j|\leq 8$ for each distinct $i,j\in\{1,...,s\}$.

(2) If $|I_a|+|I_b|=8$ for some distinct $a,b\in\{1,...,s\}$ then $|I_i|=2$ for each $i\in\{1,...,s\}\backslash\{a,b\}$.

(3) If $|I_a|=6$ for some $a\in\{1,...,s\}$ then $|I_i|=2$ for each $i\in\{1,...,s\}\backslash\{a\}$.

(4) There are at most four segments of length at least 3.

(5) If $|I_a|\ge 3$, $|I_b|\ge 3$, $|I_f|\ge 3$, $|I_g|\ge 3$ for some distinct $a,b,f,g\in\{1,...,s\}$ then $|I_a|=|I_b|=|I_f|=|I_g|=3$.

(6) $|I_i|+|I_j|+|I_t|\le 10$ for each distinct $i,j,t\in\{1,...,s\}$.

Claim 3. Let ξ_a,ξ_b,ξ_f be distinct elements of X, occuring on $\overrightarrow{C}$ in a consecutive order. If $\xi_a^-\xi_b^+\in E(G)$ then $w\xi_a, w\xi_b\notin E(G)$ for each $w\in\{\xi_f^+,\xi_f^-\}$.

Proof. If $\xi_f^-\xi_a\in E(G)$ then

$$\xi_f x_1 \xi_b \overleftarrow{C} \xi_a \xi_f^- \overleftarrow{C} \xi_b^+ \xi_a^- \overleftarrow{C} \xi_f$$

is longer than C, a contradiction. If $\xi_f^-\xi_b\in E(G)$ then

$$\xi_f x_1 \xi_a \overrightarrow{C} \xi_b \xi_f^- \overleftarrow{C} \xi_b^+ \xi_a^- \overleftarrow{C} \xi_f$$

is longer than C, a contradiction. So, $\xi_f^-\xi_a, \xi_f^-\xi_b\notin E(G)$. By a symmetric argument, $\xi_f^+\xi_a,\xi_f^+\xi_b\notin E(G)$. Δ

Claim 4. Let $a,b\in\{1,...,s\}$. If $\xi_a^+w\in E(G)$ for some $w\in V(\xi_b\overrightarrow{C}\xi_a^-)$ then $\xi_b^-y\notin E(G)$ for each $y\in\{w^+,w^-\}$.

Proof. If $\xi_b^-w^-\in E(G)$ then

$$\xi_a x_1 \xi_b \overrightarrow{C} w^- \xi_b^- \overleftarrow{C} \xi_a^+ w \overrightarrow{C} \xi_a$$

is longer than C, a contradiction. If $\xi_b^-w^+\in E(G)$ then

$$\xi_a x_1 \xi_b \overrightarrow{C} w \xi_a^+ \overrightarrow{C} \xi_b^- w^+ \overrightarrow{C} \xi_a$$

is longer than C, a contradiction. So, $\xi_b^-y\notin E(G)$ for each $y\in\{w^+,w^-\}$. Δ

Claim 5. Let $a,b\in\{1,...,s\}$. If $\xi_a^+w\in E(G)$ for some $w\in V(\xi_b^+\overrightarrow{C}\xi_a)$ then $\xi_b^+w^+\notin E(G)$. If $\xi_a^+w\in E(G)$ for some $w\in V(\xi_a^+\overrightarrow{C}\xi_b)$ then $\xi_b^+w^-\notin E(G)$.

Proof. If $\xi_a^+w\in E(G)$ for some $w\in V(\xi_b^+\overrightarrow{C}\xi_a)$ and $\xi_b^+w^+\in E(G)$ then

$$\xi_a x_1 \xi_b \overleftarrow{C} \xi_a^+ w \overleftarrow{C} \xi_b^+ w^+ \overrightarrow{C} \xi_a$$

is longer than C, a contradiction. Hence $\xi_b^+w^+\notin E(G)$. By a symmetric argument, if $\xi_a^+w\in E(G)$ for some $w\in V(\xi_a^+\overrightarrow{C}\xi_b)$ then $\xi_b^+w^-\notin E(G)$. Δ

If $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)=\emptyset$ then $G\backslash\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s\}$ has at least $s+1$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau>1$. Otherwise $\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)\neq\emptyset$ for some distinct $a,b\in\{1,...,s\}$. By Lemma 2, $|I_a|+|I_b|\ge 6$. Since C is extreme, we have $|I_i|\ge 2$ $(i=1,...,s)$. Assume first that $s\ge\delta+1$. Then

$$c=|I_a|+|I_b|+\sum_{i\in\{1,...,s\}\backslash\{a,b\}}|I_i|\ge 6+2(s-2)\ge 2\delta+4.$$

By (1), $c = 2\delta + 4$ and $|I_a| + |I_b| = 6$. By Lemma 2, $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b)$ consists of a single edge yz with $y \in V(I_a^*)$ and $y \in V(I_a^*)$. If $|I_a| = |I_b| = 3$ then by Lemma 2, $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) = \Upsilon(I_a, I_b) = \{yz\}$ and therefore, $G\backslash\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, y\}$ has at least $s+1$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau > 1$. Now let $|I_a| = 4$ and $|I_b| = 2$. Put $I_a = \xi_a w_1 w_2 w_3 \xi_{a+1}$ and $I_b = \xi_b w_4 \xi_{b+1}$. By Claim 1, $y = w_2$ and $z = w_4$. Since $|I_i| = 2$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., s\}\backslash\{a\}$, we can state that w_2 belongs to all edges in $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s)$. Then $G\backslash\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_2\}$ has at least $s + 1$ components, again contradicting the fact that $\tau > 1$. So,

$$s = \delta. \tag{2}$$

Recalling that $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b) \neq \emptyset$, we can choose $L \in \Upsilon(I_a, I_b)$. If $|L| \geq 3$ then by Lemma 2,

$$|I_a| + |I_b| \geq 2\overline{p} + 2|L| + 4 \geq 10,$$

contradicting Claim 2(1). Otherwise $|L| \leq 2$.

Claim 6. $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s)$ consists of pairwise edge disjoint paths of length at most two.

Proof. Assume the contrary, that is $P_1, P_2 \in \Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s)$ and $P_1 = y_1y_2y_3$, $P_2 = y_1y_2y_4$. If y_1, y_2, y_4 belong to different elementary segments I_a, I_b, I_f then by Lemma 2,

$$|I_a| + |I_b| \geq 8, \quad |I_a| + |I_f| \geq 8, \quad |I_b| + |I_f| \geq 8.$$

This implies $|I_a| + |I_b| + |I_f| \geq 12$, contradicting Claim 2(6). Now let $y_1 \in V(I_a^*)$ and $y_3, y_4 \in V(I_b^*)$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $y_3 \in V(\xi_b^+ \overrightarrow{C} y_4^-)$. Put

$$|\xi_a \overrightarrow{C} y_1| = d_1, \quad |y_1 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_{a+1}| = d_2,$$

$$|\xi_b \overrightarrow{C} y_3| = d_3, \quad |y_3 \overrightarrow{C} y_4| = d_4, \quad |y_4 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_{b+1}| = d_5.$$

Since C is extreme, we have

$$|C| \geq |\xi_a x_1 \xi_b \overleftarrow{C} y_1 y_2 y_3 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_a| \geq |C| - d_1 - d_3 + 4,$$

$$|C| \geq |\xi_a \overrightarrow{C} y_1 y_2 y_4 \overleftarrow{C} \xi_{a+1} x_1 \xi_{b+1}| \geq |C| - d_2 - d_5 + 4,$$

implying that $d_1 + d_3 \geq 4$ and $d_2 + d_5 \geq 4$. Observing also that $d_4 \geq |y_3y_2y_4| = 2$, we have $|I_a| + |I_b| \geq \sum_{i=1}^{5} d_i \geq 10$, contradicting Claim 2(1). Δ

By Claim 2(4), $|i : |I_i| \geq 3| \leq 4$. Further, if $|i : |I_i| \geq 3| = 0$ then by Lemma 2, $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) = \emptyset$, implying that $\tau < 1$, a contradiction. So,

$$1 \leq |i : |I_i| \geq 3| \leq 4.$$

Case 1.1. $|i : |I_i| \geq 3| = 4$.

Let $|I_i| \geq 3$ $(i = a, b, f, g)$ and $|I_i| = 2$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., s\}\backslash\{a, b, f, g\}$. By Claim 2(5), $|I_i| = 3$ $(i = a, b, f, g)$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $\xi_a, \xi_b, \xi_f, \xi_g$ occur on

C in a consecutive order. By Lemma 2, $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)\subseteq E(G)$.

Claim 7. If $\Upsilon(I_a,I_f)\neq\emptyset$ then $\Upsilon(I_b,I_g)=\emptyset$.

Proof. Assume the contrary, that is $\Upsilon(I_b,I_g)\neq\emptyset$. Let $y_1y_2\in\Upsilon(I_a,I_f)$, where $y_1\in V(I_a^*)$ and $y_2\in V(I_f^*)$. Assume w.l.o.g. $y_1=w_2$. By Claim 1, $y_2=w_5$. Analogously, there is an intermediate edge y_3y_4 between I_b^* and I_g^*. Assume w.l.o.g. that $y_3=w_3$. By Claim 1, $y_4=w_8$. Further, we have $N(w_7)\cap\{\xi_{g+1},\xi_{a+1},\xi_b,\xi_f\}=\emptyset$ (by Claim 3), $N(w_7)\cap\{w_4,w_6\}=\emptyset$ (by Claim 4), $N(w_7)\cap\{w_1,w_3,w_5\}=\emptyset$ (by Claim 1). If $N(w_7)\subseteq V(C)$ then

$$N(w_7)\subseteq\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_8,w_2\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1},\xi_b,\xi_f,\xi_{g+1}\},$$

implying that $|N(w_7)|\leq s-1=\delta-1$, a contradiction. Now let $N(w_7)\not\subseteq V(C)$, that is $x_2w_7\in E(G)$ for some $x_2\in V(G\backslash C)$. Clearly, $x_2\neq x_1$, $N(x_2)\subseteq V(C)$ and $x_2x_8,x_2\xi_g\notin E(G)$. Observing also that $x_2w_2\notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2), we have

$$N(w_7)\subseteq\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_7\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1},\xi_b,\xi_f,\xi_g\},$$

a contradiction. Δ

Claim 8. $\Upsilon(I_a,I_f)=\Upsilon(I_b,I_g)=\emptyset$.

Proof. Assume the contrary, that is $\Upsilon(I_a,I_f)\neq\emptyset$. As in proof of Claim 7, assume w.l.o.g. that $w_2w_5\in E(G)$. Then we have $N(w_7)\cap\{w_1,w_3,w_5\}=\emptyset$ (by Claim 1), $N(w_7)\cap\{\xi_{a+1},\xi_f\}=\emptyset$ (by Claim 3), $w_7w_6\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 4) and $w_7w_4\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 7). If $N(w_7)\not\subseteq V(C)$ then $x_2w_7\in E(G)$ for some $x_2\in V(G\backslash C)$. Clearly, $x_2\neq x_1$, $N(x_2)\subseteq V(C)$ and $x_2x_8,x_2\xi_g\notin E(G)$. Observing also that $x_2w_2\notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2), we have

$$N(x_2)\subseteq\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_7\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1},\xi_f,\xi_g\},$$

contradicting the fact that $|N(x_2)|\geq\delta=s$. Now let $N(w_7)\subseteq V(C)$. Then

$$N(w_7)\subseteq\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_8,w_2\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1},\xi_f\},$$

implying that $w_7w_2\in E(G)$. But then $N(w_1)\cap\{w_3,w_5,w_7\}=\emptyset$ (by Claim 1), $N(w_1)\cap\{\xi_{a+1},\xi_f,\xi_g\}=\emptyset$ (by Claim 3), $w_1w_6\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 4) and $w_1,w_8\notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2). If $N(w_1)\not\subseteq V(C)$ then $x_3w_1\in E(G)$ for some $x_3\in V(G\backslash C)$. Clearly, $x_3\neq x_1$, $N(x_3)\subseteq V(C)$ and $x_3w_2,x_3\xi_a\notin E(G)$. Observing also that $x_3w_4\notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2), we have

$$N(x_3)\subseteq\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_1\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1},\xi_f,\xi_g,\xi_a\},$$

contradicting the fact that $|N(x_3)|\geq\delta=s$. Now let $N(w_1)\subseteq V(C)$. Then

$$N(w_1)\subseteq\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_2,w_4\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1},\xi_f,\xi_g\},$$

implying that $|N(w_1)|\leq s-1=\delta$, a contradiction. Δ

Claim 9. $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b, I_f, I_g) = \emptyset$.

Proof. Assume the contrary, that is $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b, I_f, I_g) \neq \emptyset$. By Claim 8, either $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b) \neq \emptyset$ or $\Upsilon(I_b, I_f) \neq \emptyset$ or $\Upsilon(I_f, I_g) \neq \emptyset$ or $\Upsilon(I_g, I_a) \neq \emptyset$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $\Upsilon(I_a, I_g) \neq \emptyset$. By Claim 1, either $w_2w_7 \in E(G)$ or $w_1w_8 \in E(G)$.

Case a. $w_2w_7 \in E(G)$.

We have $w_8w_2, w_8w_6 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_8\xi_{a+1}, w_8\xi_g \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 3), $w_8w_3, w_8w_4 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 8) and $w_8w_1 \notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2). If $N(w_8) \not\subseteq V(C)$ then $x_2w_8 \in E(G)$ for some $x_2 \in V(G\backslash C)$. Clearly, $x_2 \neq x_1$, $N(x_2) \subseteq V(C)$ and $x_2w_7, x_2\xi_{g+1} \notin E(G)$. Observing also that $x_2w_5 \notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2), we have

$$N(x_2) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_8\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1}, \xi_g, \xi_{g+1}\},$$

contradicting the fact that $|N(x_2)| \geq \delta = s$. Now let $N(w_8) \subseteq V(C)$. Then

$$N(w_8) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_5, w_7\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1}, \xi_g\},$$

implying that $w_8w_5 \in E(G)$. Then we have $w_1w_3, w_1w_7 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_1\xi_{a+1}, w_1\xi_g \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 3), $w_1w_5, w_1w_6 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 8) and $w_1w_8 \notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2). If $N(w_1) \not\subseteq V(C)$ then $x_3w_1 \in E(G)$ for some $x_3 \in V(G\backslash C)$. Clearly, $x_3 \neq x_1$, $N(x_3) \subseteq V(C)$ and $x_3w_2, x_3\xi_a \notin E(G)$. Observing also that $x_3w_4 \notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2), we have

$$N(x_3) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_1\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1}, \xi_g, \xi_a\},$$

contradicting the fact that $|N(x_2)| \geq \delta = s$. Now let $N(w_1) \subseteq V(C)$. Then

$$N(w_1) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_2, w_4\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1}, \xi_g\},$$

implying that $w_1w_4 \in E(G)$. By a symmetric argument, $w_6w_3 \in E(G)$. But then

$$\xi_a w_1 w_4 w_3 w_6 \overrightarrow{C} w_7 w_2 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_b x_1 \xi_{b+1} \overrightarrow{C} w_5 w_8 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_a$$

is longer than C, a contradiction.

Case b. $w_1w_8 \in E(G)$.

If $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b, I_f, I_g) = \{w_1w_8\}$ then $G\backslash\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_1\}$ has at least $s+1$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau > 1$. Let $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b, I_f, I_g) \neq \{w_1w_8\}$. If either $w_1w_4 \in E(G)$ or $w_3w_6 \in E(G)$ or $w_5w_8 \in E(G)$ then we can argue as in Case a. Otherwise, by Claim 8, either $w_2w_3 \in E(G)$ or $w_4w_5 \in E(G)$ or $w_6w_7 \in E(G)$. Observing that $w_2w_3, w_6w_7 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 4), we have $w_4w_5 \in E(G)$. Then we have $w_2w_4 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_2\xi_a, w_2\xi_{b+1}, w_2\xi_f, w_2\xi_{g+1} \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 3), $w_2w_3 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 4), $w_2w_5, w_2w_6 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 8) and $w_2w_7, w_2w_8 \notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2). If $N(w_2) \not\subseteq V(C)$ then $x_2w_2 \in E(G)$ for some $x_2 \in V(G\backslash C)$. Clearly, $x_2 \neq x_1$, $N(x_2) \subseteq V(C)$ and $x_2w_1, x_2\xi_{a+1} \notin E(G)$. Then

$$N(x_2) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_2\}\backslash\{\xi_a, \xi_{a+1}, \xi_{b+1}, \xi_f, \xi_{g+1}\},$$

contradicting the fact that $|N(x_2)| \geq \delta = s$. Now let $N(w_2) \subseteq V(C)$. Then

$$N(w_2) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_1\} \backslash \{\xi_a, \xi_{b+1}, \xi_f, \xi_{g+1}\},$$

a contradiction.

Case 1.2. $|i : |I_i| \geq 3| = 3$.

Let $|I_i| \geq 3$ $(i = a, b, f)$ and $|I_i| = 2$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., s\} \backslash \{a, b, f\}$. By Claim 2(6), $9 \leq |I_a| + |I_b| + |I_f| \leq 10$.

Case 1.2.1. $|I_a| + |I_b| + |I_f| = 10$.

Assume w.l.o.g. that $|I_a| = |I_b| = 3$ and $|I_f| = 4$. Put

$$I_a = \xi_a w_1 w_2 \xi_{a+1}, \quad I_b = \xi_b w_3 w_4 \xi_{b+1}, \quad I_f = \xi_f w_5 w_6 w_7 \xi_{f+1}$$

By Lemma 2, $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) \subseteq E(G)$.

Case 1.2.1.1. $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b) \neq \emptyset$.

By Claim 1, either $w_2 w_3 \in E(G)$ or $w_1 w_4 \in E(G)$.

Case 1.2.1.1.1. $w_2 w_3 \in E(G)$.

If $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) = \{w_2 w_3\}$ then $G \backslash \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_2\}$ has at lest $s + 1$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau > 1$. Let $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) \neq \{w_2 w_3\}$. Further, if $\Upsilon(I_f, I_a) = \Upsilon(I_f, I_b) = \emptyset$ then by Claim 1, w_6 belongs to every edge in $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) \backslash \{w_2 w_3\}$ connecting I_f with some segment of length 2. By Claim 5, $w_5 w_7 \notin E(G)$ and hence $G \backslash \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_6, w_3\}$ has at least $s + 2$ components, a contradiction. Now let either $\Upsilon(I_f, I_a) \neq \emptyset$ or $\Upsilon(I_f, I_b) \neq \emptyset$, say $\Upsilon(I_f, I_b) \neq \emptyset$. By Claim 1, either $w_4 w_6 \in E(G)$ or $w_3 w_6 \in E(G)$ or $w_3 w_7 \in E(G)$.

Case 1.2.1.1.1.1. $w_4 w_6 \in E(G)$.

We have $w_5 w_1, w_4 w_7, w_7 w_2, w_4 w_2 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_5 w_4, w_7 w_1 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 4), $w_5 w_2, w_5 w_7 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 5), $w_4 w_1 \notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2). Then $G \backslash \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_3, w_6\}$ has at least $s + 2$ components, a contradiction.

Case 1.2.1.1.1.2. $w_3 w_7 \in E(G)$.

We have $w_4 w_2, w_4 w_7 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_4 \xi_{f+1}, w_4 \xi_{a+1}, w_4 \xi_b \notin E(G)$ (Claim 3) and $w_4 w_1, w_4 w_5, w_4 w_6 \notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2). If $N(w_4) \not\subseteq V(C)$ then $x_2 w_4 \in E(G)$ for some $x_2 \in V(G \backslash C)$. Clearly, $x_2 \neq x_1$, $N(x_2) \subseteq V(C)$ and $x_2 w_3, x_2 \xi_{b+1} \notin E(G)$. Then

$$N(x_2) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_4\} \backslash \{\xi_{a+1}, \xi_b, \xi_{b+1}, \xi_{f+1}\},$$

a contradiction. Now let $N(w_4) \subseteq V(C)$. Then

$$N(w_4) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_3\} \backslash \{\xi_{f+1}, \xi_{a+1}, \xi_b\},$$

a contradiction.

Case 1.2.1.1.1.3. $w_3w_6 \in E(G)$.

We have $w_1w_3, w_1w_5, w_3w_5, w_4w_7 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_1w_7 \notin E(G)$ (Claim 4), $w_3w_7 \notin E(G)$ (otherwise we can argue as in Case 1.2.1.1.1.2), $w_5w_7 \notin E(G)$ (Claim 5) and $w_1w_4, w_4w_5 \notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2). So, $G\backslash\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_2, w_6\}$ has at least $s+2$ components, a contradiction.

Case 1.2.1.1.2. $w_1w_4 \in E(G)$.

We have $w_3w_1, w_3w_5 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_3w_2 \notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2) and $w_3\xi_a, w_3\xi_{b+1} \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 3). Assume first that $N(w_3) \not\subseteq V(C)$, that is $x_2w_3 \in E(G)$ for some $x_2 \in V(G\backslash C)$. Clearly, $x_2 \neq x_1$, $N(x_2) \subseteq V(C)$ and $x_2w_4, x_2\xi_b \notin E(G)$. Observing also that $x_2w_6, x_2w_7 \notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2), we have

$$N(x_2) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_3\}\backslash\{\xi_a, \xi_{b+1}\},$$

a contradiction. Now let $N(w_3) \subseteq V(C)$. If $N(w_3) \cap \{w_6, w_7\} = \emptyset$ then

$$N(w_3) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_4\}\backslash\{\xi_a, \xi_{b+1}\},$$

a contradiction. Hence $N(w_3) \cap \{w_6, w_7\} \neq \emptyset$. By a symmetric argument, $N(w_2) \cap \{w_5, w_6\} \neq \emptyset$. We have three main subcases, namely either w_2w_5, $w_3w_7 \in E(G)$ or $w_2w_6, w_3w_6 \in E(G)$ or $w_2w_6, w_3w_7 \in E(G)$.

Case 1.2.1.1.2.1. $w_2w_5, w_3w_7 \in E(G)$.

If $w_2w_6 \in E(G)$ then

$$\xi_a w_1 w_4 \overleftarrow{C} \xi_{a+1} x_1 \xi_{b+1} \overrightarrow{C} w_5 w_2 w_6 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_a$$

is longer than C, a contradiction. Hence, $w_2w_6 \notin E(G)$. By a symmetric argument, $w_3w_6 \notin E(G)$. We have $w_6w_1, w_6w_4 \notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2). If $w_6\xi_{a+1} \in E(G)$ then

$$\xi_a x_1 \xi_{b+1} \overrightarrow{C} w_5 w_2 w_1 w_4 \overleftarrow{C} \xi_{a+1} w_6 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_a$$

is longer than C, a contradiction. Let $w_6\xi_{a+1} \notin E(G)$. By a symmetric argument, $w_6\xi_b \notin E(G)$. Further, if $w_6\xi_a \in E(G)$ then

$$\xi_a w_6 w_5 w_2 w_1 w_4 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_f x_1 \xi_{a+1} \overrightarrow{C} w_3 w_7 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_a$$

is longer than C, a contradiction. Hence, $w_6\xi_a \notin E(G)$. By a symmetric argument, $w_6\xi_{b+1} \notin E(G)$. Assume that $N(w_6) \not\subseteq V(C)$, that is $x_2w_6 \in E(G)$ for some $x_2 \in V(G\backslash C)$. Clearly, $x_2 \neq x_1$, $N(x_2) \subseteq V(C)$ and $x_2w_5, x_2w_7 \notin E(G)$. Then we have

$$N(x_2) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_6\}\backslash\{\xi_a, \xi_{a+1}, \xi_b, \xi_{b+1}\},$$

a contradiction. Now let $N(w_6) \subseteq V(C)$. Then

$$N(w_6) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_5, w_7\}\backslash\{\xi_a, \xi_{a+1}, \xi_b, \xi_{b+1}\},$$

a contradiction.

Case 1.2.1.1.2.2. $w_2w_6, w_3w_6 \in E(G)$.

By Claim 5, $w_5w_7 \notin E(G)$. If $w_2w_3 \in E(G)$ then we can argue as in Case 1.2.1.1.1. Let $w_2w_3 \notin E(G)$. Next, if $w_2w_5 \in E(G)$ then we can argue as in Case 1.2.1.1.2.1. Let $w_2w_5 \notin E(G)$. We have also $w_2w_7, w_3w_5 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_3w_7 \notin E(G)$ (as in Case 1.2.1.1.2.1). Then $G\backslash\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_4, w_6\}$ has at least $s + 2$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau > 1$.

Case 1.2.1.1.2.3. $w_2w_6, w_3w_7 \in E(G)$.

We have $w_5w_1, w_5w_3 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_5w_2 \notin E(G)$ (as in Case 1.2.1.1.2.1), $w_5\xi_b, w_5\xi_{f+1} \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 3), $w_5w_4 \notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2), $w_5w_7 \notin E(G)$ (as in Case 1.2.1.1.2.2). Assume that $N(w_5) \not\subseteq V(C)$, that is $x_2w_5 \in E(G)$ for some $x_2 \in V(G\backslash C)$. Clearly, $x_2 \neq x_1$, $N(x_2) \subseteq V(C)$ and $x_2w_6, x_2\xi_f \notin E(G)$. Then we have

$$N(x_2) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_5, w_7\}\backslash\{\xi_{f+1}, \xi_b, \xi_f\},$$

a contradiction. Now let $N(w_5) \subseteq V(C)$. Then

$$N(w_5) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_6\}\backslash\{\xi_{f+1}, \xi_b\},$$

a contradiction.

Case 1.2.1.2. $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b) = \emptyset$.

It follows that w_6 belongs to all edges in $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s)$, implying that $\tau \leq 1$, a contradiction.

Case 1.2.2. $|I_a| + |I_b| + |I_f| = 9$.

It follows that $|I_a| = |I_b| = |I_f| = 3$. Put

$$I_a = \xi_a w_1 w_2 \xi_{a+1}, \quad I_b = \xi_b w_3 w_4 \xi_{b+1}, \quad I_f = \xi_f w_5 w_6 \xi_{f+1}.$$

By Lemma 2, $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) = \Upsilon(I_a, I_b, I_f)$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b) \neq \emptyset$ and $w_2w_3 \in E(G)$. We have $w_1w_6, w_4w_5 \notin E(G)$ (Claim 4). If $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) = \Upsilon(I_a, I_b)$ then clearly $\tau \leq 1$, a contradiction. Otherwise, assume w.l.o.g. that $w_2w_5 \in E(G)$. Hence, $w_1\xi_{a+1}, w_1\xi_b, w_1\xi_f \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 3) and w_1w_4, w_1w_5, $w_1w_6 \notin E(G)$. Assume that $N(w_1) \not\subseteq V(C)$, that is $x_2w_1 \in E(G)$ for some $x_2 \in V(G\backslash C)$. Clearly, $x_2 \neq x_1$, $N(x_2) \subseteq V(C)$ and $x_2w_2, x_2\xi_a \notin E(G)$. Then we have

$$N(x_2) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_4\}\backslash\{\xi_a, \xi_{a+1}, \xi_b, \xi_f\},$$

a contradiction. Now let $N(w_1) \subseteq V(C)$. Then

$$N(w_1) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_2\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1}, \xi_b, \xi_f\},$$

a contradiction.

Case 1.3. $|i : |I_i| \geq 3| = 2$.

Let $|I_i| \geq 3$ $(i = a, b)$ and $|I_i| = 2$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., s\} \backslash \{a, b\}$. By Claim 2(2), $6 \leq |I_a| + |I_b| \leq 8$.

Case 1.3.1. $|I_a| + |I_b| = 8$.

Case 1.3.1.1. $|I_a| = 3$, $|I_b| = 5$.

Put $I_a = \xi_a w_1 w_2 \xi_{a+1}$ and $I_b = \xi_b w_3 w_4 w_5 w_6 \xi_{b+1}$.

Case 1.3.1.1.1. $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) = \Upsilon(I_a, I_b)$.

If there is a vertex belonging to all edges in $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b)$ then clearly $\tau \leq 1$, a contradiction. Otherwise $w_1 y_1, w_2 y_2 \in E(G)$ for some distinct $y_1, y_2 \in \{w_3, w_4, w_5, w_6\}$. By Claim 4, y_1, y_2 are not consequent vertices on C and $\{y_1, y_2\} \neq \{w_3, w_6\}$. Then we can assume w.l.o.g. that $w_2 w_3, w_1 w_5 \in E(G)$. If $w_4 w_6 \in E(G)$ then

$$\xi_a x_1 \xi_{a+1} \overrightarrow{C} w_3 w_2 w_1 w_5 w_4 w_6 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_a$$

is longer than C, a contradiction. Let $w_4 w_6 \notin E(G)$. Then $G \backslash \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_3, w_5\}$ has at least $s + 2$ components, a contradiction.

Case 1.3.1.1.2. $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) \neq \Upsilon(I_a, I_b)$.

Choose a segment $I_f = \xi_f w_7 \xi_{f+1}$ such that $w_7 y \in E(G)$ for some $y \in V(I_a^*) \cup V(I_b^*)$. By Lemma 2, $y \in V(I_b^*)$. Assume w.l.o.g. that ξ_a, ξ_b, ξ_f occur on C in this order. By Claim 1, either $y = w_4$ or $y = w_5$.

Case 1.3.1.1.2.1. $y = w_4$.

Let $g \in \{1, ..., s\} \backslash \{a, b\}$. Clearly $|I_g| = 2$. Put $I_g = \xi_g w_8 \xi_{g+1}$. By Claim 4, $w_7 w_5, w_8 w_5 \notin E(G)$, i.e. $N(w_8) \cap \{w_3, w_4, w_5, w_6\} \subseteq \{w_4\}$. Further, we have $N(w_2) \cap \{w_3, w_5\} = \emptyset$ (by Claim 4) and $w_1 w_5 \notin E(G)$ (by claim 5). If $w_3 w_5 \in E(G)$ then

$$\xi_a \overrightarrow{C} \xi_b x_1 \xi_f \overleftarrow{C} w_5 w_3 w_4 w_7 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_a$$

is longer than C. Let $w_3 w_5 \notin E(G)$. So, $G \backslash \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_4, w_6\}$ has at least $s + 2$ components, a contradiction.

Case 1.3.1.1.2.2. $y = w_5$.

By Claim 5, $w_4 w_6, w_3 w_6 \notin E(G)$. In addition, we have $w_1 w_3, w_2 w_6 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_2 w_4 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 4) and $w_1 w_6 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 5). If $w_1 w_4 \notin E(G)$ then $G \backslash \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_3, w_5\}$ has at least $s + 2$ components, a contradiction. Now let $w_1 w_4 \in E(G)$, implying that $w_1 w_3 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1) and $w_2 w_3 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 4). But then $G \backslash \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_4, w_5\}$ has at least $s + 2$ components, again a contradiction.

Case 1.3.1.2. $|I_a| = |I_b| = 4$.

Put $I_a = \xi_a w_1 w_2 w_3 \xi_{a+1}$ and $I_b = \xi_b w_4 w_5 w_6 \xi_{b+1}$.

Case 1.3.1.2.1. $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) \neq \Upsilon(I_a, I_b)$.

Assume w.l.o.g. that $\Upsilon(I_a,I_f)\neq\emptyset$ for some $f\in\{1,...,s\}\backslash\{a,b\}$, and ξ_a,ξ_b,ξ_f occur on C in this order. Put $I_f=\xi_f w_7\xi_{f+1}$. By Claim 1, $w_7w_2\in E(G)$ and by Claim 5, $w_1w_3\notin E(G)$. Observing also that $w_1w_4,w_3w_6\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_3w_4\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 4) and $w_1w_6\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 5), we conclude that $G\backslash\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_2,w_5\}$ has at least $s+2$ components, a contradiction.

Case 1.3.1.2.2. $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)=\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)$.

If there is a vertex belonging to all edges in $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)$ then $\tau\leq 1$, a contradiction. Otherwise, by Claim 4, either $w_3w_4,w_2w_5\in E(G)$ or $w_3w_5,w_2w_6\in E(G)$ or $w_3w_4,w_1w_6\in E(G)$ or $w_3w_5,w_2w_4\in E(G)$.

Case 1.3.1.2.2.1. $w_3w_4,w_2w_5\in E(G)$.

If $w_1w_3\in E(G)$ then

$$\xi_a x_1\xi_{a+1}\overrightarrow{C}w_4w_3w_1w_2w_5\overrightarrow{C}\xi_a$$

is longer than C, a contradiction. Let $w_1w_3\notin E(G)$. Next, if $w_1w_6\in E(G)$ then

$$\xi_a x_1\xi_b\overleftarrow{C}w_3w_4w_5w_2w_1w_6\overrightarrow{C}\xi_a$$

is longer than C, a contradiction. Let $w_1w_6\notin E(G)$. Observe that $w_1w_4\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_1\xi_{a+1},w_1\xi_b\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 3) and $w_1w_5\notin E(G)$ (Claim 4). Moreover, if $f\in\{1,...,s\}\backslash\{a,b\}$ and $I_f=\xi_f w_7\xi_{f+1}$ then by Claim 1, $w_1w_7\notin E(G)$. So, $N(w_1)\subseteq\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_2\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1},\xi_b\}$. If $\xi_{a+1}\neq\xi_b$ then $|N(w_1)|\leq s-1=\delta-1$, a contradiction. Let $\xi_{a+1}=\xi_b$. Since $s\geq 3$, we have $\xi_{b+1}\neq\xi_a$. Further, we have $w_7w_1,w_7w_3\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_7\xi_{a+1}\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 3) and $w_7w_2\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 4). Hence, if $N(w_7)\subseteq V(C)$ then $N(w_7)\subseteq\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1}\}$, a contradiction. Analogous arguments can be used when $N(w_7)\not\subseteq V(C)$.

Case 1.3.1.2.2.2. $w_3w_5,w_2w_6\in E(G)$.

By Claim 5, If $w_1w_3\notin E(G)$. By a symmetric argument, $w_4w_6\notin E(G)$. We have also $w_1w_4,w_3w_6\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1) and $w_1w_6,w_3w_4\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 4). So, $G\backslash\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_2,w_5\}$ has at least $s+3$ components, a contradiction.

Case 1.3.1.2.2.3. $w_3w_4,w_1w_6\in E(G)$.

If $\xi_a=\xi_{b+1}$ and $\xi_{a+1}=\xi_b$ then clearly $s=2$, a contradiction. Assume w.l.o.g. that $\xi_a\neq\xi_{b+1}$. Choose $f\in\{1,...,s\}\backslash\{a,b\}$ such that ξ_a,ξ_b,ξ_f occur on C in this order. Clearly, $|I_f|=2$. Put $I_f=\xi_f w_7\xi_{f+1}$. Then $w_7w_1,w_7w_3,w_7w_4,w_7w_6\notin E(G)$ (Claim 1), $w_7\xi_{a+1},w_7\xi_b\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 3) and $w_7w_2,w_7w_5\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 5). If $N(w_7)\subseteq V(C)$ then $N(w_7)\subseteq\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1},\xi_b\}$, a contradiction. Similar arguments can be used when $N(w_7)\not\subseteq V(C)$.

Case 1.3.1.2.2.4. $w_3w_5,w_2w_4\in E(G)$.

By Claim 5, $w_1w_3 \notin E(G)$. By a similar argument, $w_4w_6 \notin E(G)$. Observing also that $w_1w_4, w_3w_6 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1) and $w_1w_6 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 4), we conclude that if $w_3w_4 \notin E(G)$ then $G\backslash\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_2, w_5\}$ has at least $s+3$ components, a contradiction. Now let $w_3w_4 \in E(G)$. Then $w_1\xi_{a+1}, w_1\xi_b \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 3), $w_1w_4 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_1w_5, w_1w_6 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 4). Assume that $N(w_1) \not\subseteq V(C)$, that is $x_2w_1 \in E(G)$ for some $x_2 \in V(G\backslash C)$. Clearly, $x_2 \neq x_1$, $N(x_2) \subseteq V(C)$ and $x_2w_2, x_2\xi_a \notin E(G)$. Then we have

$$N(x_2) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_1\}\backslash\{\xi_a, \xi_{a+1}, \xi_b\},$$

a contradiction. Now let $N(w_1) \subseteq V(C)$. Then

$$N(w_1) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_2\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1}, \xi_b\},$$

implying that $\xi_{a+1} = \xi_b$. Since $s \geq 3$, we have $\xi_a \neq \xi_{b+1}$. Put $I_{a-1} = \xi_{a-1}w_7\xi_a$. We have $w_7w_3, w_7w_4, w_7w_6 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_7\xi_{a+1} \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 3) and $w_7w_2, w_7w_5 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 4). If $N(w_7) \subseteq V(C)$ then $N(w_7) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1}\}$, a contradiction. Analogous arguments can be used when $N(w_7) \not\subseteq V(C)$.

Case 1.3.2. $|I_a| + |I_b| = 7$.

Assume w.l.o.g. that $|I_a| = 3$ and $|I_b| = 4$. Put $I_a = \xi_aw_1w_2\xi_{a+1}$ and $I_b = \xi_bw_3w_4w_5\xi_{b+1}$. If $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b) = \emptyset$ then w_4 belongs to all edges in $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s)$, implying that $\tau \leq 1$, a contradiction. Let $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b) \neq \emptyset$ and $yz \in E(G)$, where $y \in V(I_a^*)$ and $z \in V(I_b^*)$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $y = w_2$. By Claim 1, $z \neq w_5$, implying that either $z = w_3$ or $z = w_4$.

Case 1.3.2.1. $z = w_3$.

We have $w_1\xi_{a+1}, w_1\xi_b \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 3), $w_1w_3 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1) and $w_1w_4, w_1w_5 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 4). Assume that $N(w_1) \not\subseteq V(C)$, that is $x_2w_1 \in E(G)$ for some $x_2 \in V(G\backslash C)$. Clearly, $x_2 \neq x_1$, $N(x_2) \subseteq V(C)$ and $x_2w_2, x_2\xi_a \notin E(G)$. Then we have

$$N(x_2) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_1\}\backslash\{\xi_a, \xi_{a+1}, \xi_b\},$$

a contradiction. Now let $N(w_1) \subseteq V(C)$. Then

$$N(w_1) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_2\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1}, \xi_b\},$$

implying that $\xi_{a+1} = \xi_b$. Since $s \geq 3$, we have $\xi_a \neq \xi_{b+1}$. Put $I_{a-1} = \xi_{a-1}w_6\xi_a$. We have $w_6w_1, w_6w_2, w_6w_3, w_6w_5 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1) and $w_6\xi_{a+1} \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 3). If $N(w_6) \subseteq V(C)$ the $N(w_6) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s\}\backslash\{\xi_{a+1}\}$, a contradiction. Analogous arguments can be used when $N(w_6) \not\subseteq V(C)$.

Case 1.3.2.2. $z = w_4$.

By Claim 5, $w_3w_5 \notin E(G)$. Further, we have $w_1w_3, w_2w_5 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_1w_5 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 4) and $w_2w_3 \notin E(G)$ (otherwise we can argue as in Case 1.3.2.1). Then $G\backslash\{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_4\}$ has at least $s+2$ components, that is

$\tau < 1$, a contradiction.

Case 1.3.3. $|I_a| + |I_b| = 6$.

Clearly $|I_a| = |I_b| = 3$. Put $I_a = \xi_a w_1 w_2 \xi_{a+1}$ and $I_b = \xi_b w_3 w_4 \xi_{b+1}$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $w_2 w_3 \in E(G)$. We have $w_1\xi_{a+1}, w_1\xi_b \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 3), $w_1 w_3 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_1 w_4 \notin E(G)$ (by Lemma 2). Assume that $N(w_1) \nsubseteq V(C)$, that is $x_2 w_1 \in E(G)$ for some $x_2 \in V(G \backslash C)$. Clearly, $x_2 \neq x_1$, $N(x_2) \subseteq V(C)$ and $x_2 w_2, x_2 \xi_a \notin E(G)$. Then we have

$$N(x_2) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_1\} \backslash \{\xi_a, \xi_{a+1}, \xi_b\},$$

a contradiction. Now let $N(w_1) \subseteq V(C)$. Then

$$N(w_1) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_2\} \backslash \{\xi_{a+1}, \xi_b\},$$

implying that $\xi_{a+1} = \xi_b$. Since $s \geq 3$, we have $\xi_a \neq \xi_{b+1}$. Put $I_{a-1} = \xi_{a-1} w_5 \xi_a$. We have $w_5 w_1, w_5 w_2, w_5 w_3, w_5 w_4 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_5 \xi_{a+1} \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 3). If $N(w_5) \subseteq V(C)$ then $N(w_5) \subseteq \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s\} \backslash \{\xi_{a+1}\}$, a contradiction. Analogous arguments can be used when $N(w_5) \nsubseteq V(C)$.

Case 1.4. $|i : |I_i| \geq 3| = 1$.

Let $|I_1| \geq 3$ and $|I_i| = 2$ $(i = 2, 3, ..., s)$. Clearly $3 \leq |I_1| \leq 6$.

Case 1.4.1. $|I_1| = 6$.

Put $I_1 = \xi_1 w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 w_5 \xi_2$.

Case 1.4.1.1. $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) = \Upsilon(I_1, I_a)$ for some $a \in \{2, ..., s\}$.

Clearly $|I_a| = 2$. Put $I_a = \xi_a w_6 \xi_{a+1}$. We have $w_6 w_1, w_6 w_5 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 1), $w_6 w_2, w_6 w_4 \in E(G)$ (by Claim 4) and $w_1 w_3, w_3 w_5, w_1 w_5 \notin E(G)$ (by Claim 5). Hence $G \backslash \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_2, w_4\}$ has at least $s + 3$ components, that is $\tau \leq 1$, a contradiction.

Case 1.4.1.2. $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) \neq \Upsilon(I_1, I_i)$ for each $i \in \{2, ..., s\}$.

It follows that $\Upsilon(I_1, I_a) \neq \emptyset$ and $\Upsilon(I_1, I_b) \neq \emptyset$ for some distinct $a, b \in \{2, ..., s\}$. Assume w.l.o.g. that ξ_1, ξ_a, ξ_b occur on C in this order.Put $I_a = \xi_a w_6 \xi_{a+1}$ and $I_b = \xi_b w_7 \xi_{b+1}$. Let $y_1 w_6, y_2 w_7 \in E(G)$, where $y_1, y_2 \in V(I_1^*)$. By Claim 3, $\{y_1, y_2\} \cap \{w_1, w_5\} = \emptyset$. Further, by Claim 4, $y_1 \neq y_2^+$ and $y_2 \neq y_1^+$. So, $\{y_1, y_2\} = \{w_2, w_4\}$. By Claim 5, $w_1 w_3, w_3 w_5, w_1 w_5 \notin E(G)$. This means that $G \backslash \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_2, w_4\}$ has at least $s + 3$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau > 1$.

Case 1.4.2. $|I_1| = 5$.

Put $I_1 = \xi_1 w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 \xi_2$.

Case 1.4.2.1. $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) = \Upsilon(I_1, I_a)$ for some $a \in \{2, ..., s\}$.

Clearly $|I_a| = 2$. Put $I_a = \xi_a w_5 \xi_{a+1}$. By Claim 3, $w_5 w_1, w_4 \notin E(G)$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $w_5 w_2 \in E(G)$. But then, by Claim 4, $w_5 w_3 \notin E(G)$, that is $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)=\{w_5w_2\}$. So, $G\backslash\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_2\}$ has at least $s+1$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau>1$.

Case 1.4.2.2. $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)\neq\Upsilon(I_1,I_i)$ for each $i\in\{2,...,s\}$.

It follows that $\Upsilon(I_1,I_a)\neq\emptyset$ and $\Upsilon(I_1,I_b)\neq\emptyset$ for some distinct $a,b\in\{2,...,s\}$. Assume w.l.o.g. that ξ_1,ξ_a,ξ_b occur on C in this order. Put $I_a=\xi_aw_5\xi_{a+1}$ and $I_b=\xi_bw_6\xi_{b+1}$. Let $y_1w_5,y_2w_6\in E(G)$, where $y_1,y_2\in V(I_1^*)$. By Claim 3, $\{y_1,y_2\}\cap\{w_1,w_4\}=\emptyset$. Further, by Claim 4, $\{y_1,y_2\}\neq\{w_2,w_3\}$, that is either $\{y_1,y_2\}=\{w_2\}$ or $\{y_1,y_2\}=\{w_3\}$, say $\{y_1,y_2\}=\{w_2\}$. This means that w_2 belongs to all edges in $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)$, implying that $G\backslash\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_2\}$ has at least $s+1$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau>1$.

Case 1.4.3. $|I_1|=4$.

Put $I_1=\xi_1w_1w_2w_3\xi_2$. It is not hard to see that w_2 belongs to all edges in $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)$, implying that $G\backslash\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_2\}$ has at least $s+1$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau>1$.

Case 1.4.4. $|I_1|=3$.

By Lemma 2, $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)=\emptyset$, implying that $\tau<1$, a contradiction.

Case 2. $\overline{p}=1$.

Since $\delta\geq\kappa\geq3$, we have $|N_C(x_i)|\geq\delta-\overline{p}=\delta-1\geq2\ \ (i=1,2)$.

Case 2.1. $N_C(x_1)\neq N_C(x_2)$.

It follows that $\max\{\sigma_1,\sigma_2\}\geq1$, where

$$\sigma_1=|N_C(x_1)\backslash N_C(x_2)|,\quad \sigma_2=|N_C(x_2)\backslash N_C(x_1)|.$$

If $\max\{\sigma_1,\sigma_2\}\geq3$ then by Lemma 1, $c\geq3\delta+2\geq2\delta+5$, contradicting (1). If $\max\{\sigma_1,\sigma_2\}=2$ then clearly $s\geq\delta+1$ and it is easy to see that there are at least δ elementary segments on C of length at least 3. But then $c\geq2+3\delta\geq2\delta+5$, contradicting (1). Finally, let $\max\{\sigma_1,\sigma_2\}=1$. This implies $s\geq\delta$ and $|I_i|\geq3$ $(i=1,...,s)$. If $s\geq\delta+1$ then $c\geq3s\geq3\delta+3>2\delta+5$, again contradicting (1). Let $s=\delta$, that is $|I_i|=3$ $(i=1,...,s)$. By Lemma 2, $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)=\emptyset$, contradicting the fact that $\tau>1$.

Case 2.2. $N_C(x_1)=N_C(x_2)$.

Clearly, $s=|N_C(x_1)|\geq\delta-\overline{p}=\delta-1$. If $s\geq\delta+1$ then $c\geq3s\geq3\delta+3>2\delta+5$, contradicting (1). Next suppose that $s=\delta$. If $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)=\emptyset$ then $G\backslash\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s\}$ has at least $s+1$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau>1$. Otherwise $\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)\neq\emptyset$ for some distinct $a,b\in\{1,...,s\}$. By definition, there is an intermediate path L between I_a and I_b. By Lemma 2,

$$|I_a|+|I_b|\geq2\overline{p}+2|L|+4\geq8,$$

implying that $c\geq8+3(s-2)=3\delta+2\geq2\delta+5$, contradicting (1). So, $s=\delta-1$. If $s=2$ then $G\backslash\{\xi_1,\xi_2\}$ is disconnected, contradicting the fact that $\kappa\geq3$. Thus $s\geq3$, implying that $\delta\geq4$.

The next claim can be derived from (1) and Lemma 2 easily.

Claim 10. (1) $|I_i| + |I_j| \leq 9$ for each distinct $i, j \in \{1, ..., s\}$.

(2) If $|I_a| + |I_b| = 9$ for some distinct $a, b \in \{1, ..., s\}$ then $|I_i| = 3$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., s\} \backslash \{a, b\}$.

(3) If $|I_a| = 6$ for some $a \in \{1, ..., s\}$ then $|I_i| = 3$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., s\} \backslash \{a\}$.

(4) There are at most three segments of length at least 4.

(5) If $|I_a| \geq 4$, $|I_b| \geq 4$, $|I_f| \geq 4$ for some distinct $a, b, f \in \{1, 2, ..., s\}$ then $|I_a| = |I_b| = |I_f| = 4$.

The following three claims are the exact analogs of Claims 3,4,5 for $\overline{p} = 1$ and can be proved by a similar way.

Claim 11. Let ξ_a, ξ_b, ξ_f be distinct elements of X, occuring on $\overrightarrow{C}$ in a consecutive order. If $\xi_a^- \xi_b^+ \in E(G)$ then $w\xi_a, w\xi_b \notin E(G)$ for each $w \in \{\xi_f^+, \xi_f^{++}, \xi_f^-, \xi_f^{--}\}$. If either $\xi_a^{--} \xi_b^+ \in E(G)$ or $\xi_a^- \xi_b^{++} \in E(G)$ then $w\xi_a, w\xi_b \notin E(G)$ for each $w \in \{\xi_f^+, \xi_f^-\}$.

Claim 12. Let $a, b \in \{1, ..., s\}$. If $\xi_a^+ w \in E(G)$ for some $w \in V(\xi_b \overrightarrow{C} \xi_a^-)$ then $\xi_b^- y \notin E(G)$ for each $y \in \{w^+, w^{++}, w^-, w^{--}\}$ and $\xi_b^{--} y \notin E(G)$ for each $y \in \{w^+, w^-\}$.

Claim 13. Let $a, b \in \{1, ..., s\}$. If $\xi_a^+ w \in E(G)$ for some $w \in V(\xi_b^+ \overrightarrow{C} \xi_a)$ then $\xi_b^+ w^+, \xi_b^+ w^{++}, \xi_b^{++} w^+ \notin E(G)$.

Claim 14. Let $\xi_a, \xi_b, \xi_f, \xi_g$ be distinct elements of X, occuring on $\overrightarrow{C}$ in a consecutive order. If $\xi_a^- \xi_f^+ \in E(G)$ then $\xi_b^+ \xi_g^-, \xi_b^{++} \xi_g^-, \xi_b^+ \xi_g^{--} \notin E(G)$.

Proof. If $\xi_b^+ \xi_g^- \in E(G)$ then

$$\xi_a \overrightarrow{C} \xi_b x_2 \xi_g \overrightarrow{C} \xi_a^- \xi_f^+ \overrightarrow{C} \xi_g^- \xi_b^+ \overrightarrow{C} \xi_f x_1 \xi_a$$

is longer than C, a contradiction. Hence $\xi_b^+ \xi_g^- \notin E(G)$. Similarly, $\xi_b^{++} \xi_g^-$, $\xi_b^+ \xi_g^{--} \notin E(G)$. Claim 14 is proved. Δ

Case 2.2.1. $| i :| I_i |\geq 4 |= 3$.

Let $|I_i| \geq 4$ for some $a, b, f \in \{1, ..., s\}$ and $|I_i| = 3$ for each

$$i \in \{1, ..., s\} \backslash \{a, b, f\}.$$

By Claim 10(5), $|I_a| = |I_b| = |I_f| = 4$. Put

$$I_a = \xi_a w_1 w_2 w_3 \xi_{a+1}, \ I_b = \xi_b w_4 w_5 w_6 \xi_{b+1}, \ I_f = \xi_f w_7 w_8 w_9 \xi_{f+1}.$$

Assume w.l.o.g. that ξ_a, ξ_b, ξ_f occur on C in this order. By Lemma 2,

$$\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) = \Upsilon(I_a, I_b, I_f),$$

$$|\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)|\le 1,\ |\Upsilon(I_a,I_f)|\le 1,\ |\Upsilon(I_b,I_f)|\le 1,$$

implying that $|\Upsilon(I_a,I_b,I_f)|\le 3$. If $|\Upsilon(I_a,I_b,I_f)|\le 1$ then clearly $\tau\le 1$, a contradiction. Let $|\Upsilon(I_a,I_b,I_f)|\ge 2$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)\ne\emptyset$ and $\Upsilon(I_a,I_f)\ne\emptyset$. By Claim 1, either $w_3w_4\in E(G)$ or $w_2w_5\in E(G)$ or $w_1w_6\in E(G)$.

Case 2.2.1.1. $w_3w_4\in E(G)$.

By Claim 12, $w_1w_9, w_2w_8\notin E(G)$ (due to $w_3w_4\in E(G)$), implying that $w_3w_7\in E(G)$. If $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)=\{w_3w_4,w_3w_7\}$ then $G\backslash\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_3\}$ has at least $s+1$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau>1$. Let $\Upsilon(I_b,I_f)\ne\emptyset$. By Claim 12, $w_6w_7, w_5w_8\notin E(G)$ (due to w_3w_4), implying that $w_4w_9\in E(G)$ which contradicts Claim 14 due to w_3w_7 .

Case 2.2.1.2. $w_2w_5\in E(G)$.

If $w_1w_9\in E(G)$ then we can argue as in Case 2.2.1.1. Let $w_1w_9\notin E(G)$. We have also $w_3w_7\notin E(G)$ (by Claim 13). Then, by Claim 1, $w_2w_8\in E(G)$. Next, by Claim 13, $w_1w_3\notin E(G)$. Analogously, $w_4w_6, w_7w_9\notin E(G)$. If $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)=\{w_2w_5,w_2w_8\}$ then $G\backslash\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_2,w_5,w_8\}$ has at least $s+4$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau>1$. If $|\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)|=3$ then due to above observations, $w_5w_8\in E(G)$ and again $G\backslash\{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_2,w_5,w_8\}$ has at least $s+4$ components, a contradiction.

Case 2.2.1.3. $w_1w_6\in E(G)$.

If either $w_1w_9\in E(G)$ or $w_2w_8\in E(G)$ then we can argue as in Cases 2.2.1.1-2.2.1.2. Otherwise, by Lemma 1, $w_3w_7\in E(G)$ which contradicts Claim 14 due to $w_1w_6\in E(G)$.

Case 2.2.2. $\mid i:\mid I_i\mid\ge 4\mid=2$.

Let $|I_i|\ge 4$ for some $a,b\in\{1,...,s\}$ and $|I_i|=3$ for each $i\in\{1,...,s\}\backslash\{a,b\}$. By Claim 10(1), $8\le|I_a|+|I_b|\le 9$.

Case 2.2.2.1. $|I_a|+|I_b|=8$.

It follows that $|I_a|=|I_b|=4$. By Lemma 2, $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)=\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)$ and $|\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)|=1$, implying that $\tau\le 1$, a contradiction.

Case 2.2.2.2. $|I_a|+|I_b|=9$.

Assume w.l.o.g. that $|I_a|=4$ and $|I_b|=5$. Put $I_a=\xi_aw_1w_2w_3\xi_{a+1}$ and $I_b=\xi_bw_4w_5w_6w_7\xi_{b+1}$. If $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)=\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)$ then by Lemma 2, $|\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)|\le 2$ and the edges in $\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)$ have a common vertex. This means that $\tau\le 1$, a contradiction. Let $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)\ne\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)$. It follows that $\Upsilon(I_b,I_f)\ne\emptyset$ for some $f\in\{1,...,s\}\backslash\{a,b\}$ and $|I_f|=3$. Put $I_f=\xi_fw_8w_9\xi_{f+1}$.

Claim 15. The edges in $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)\backslash\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)$ have a common vertex.

Proof. By Lemma 2, $|\Upsilon(I_b,I_f)|=1$. If $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)\backslash\Upsilon(I_a,I_b)=\Upsilon(I_b,I_f)$ then we are done. Otherwise $\Upsilon(I_b,I_g)\ne\emptyset$ for some $g\in\{1,...,s\}\backslash\{a,b,f\}$.

Put $I_g = \xi_g w_{10} w_{11} \xi_{g+1}$. Assume w.l.o.g. that ξ_b, ξ_f, ξ_g occur on C in this order. Let $y_1z_1 \in \Upsilon(I_b, I_f)$ with $y_1 \in V(I_b^*)$, $z_1 \in V(I_f^*)$ and $y_2z_2 \in \Upsilon(I_b, I_g)$ with $y_2 \in V(I_b^*)$, $z_2 \in V(I_g^*)$. By Claim 1, $y_1z_1 \in \{w_6w_8, w_5w_9\}$ and $y_2z_2 \in \{w_5w_{11}, w_6w_{10}\}$. If $y_1z_1 = w_6w_8$ then by Claim 12, $y_2z_2 \neq w_5w_{11}$. Then $y_2z_2 = w_6w_{10}$ and we are done. If $y_1z_1 = w_5w_9$ then by Claim 5, $y_2z_2 \neq w_6w_{10}$, implying that $y_2z_2 = w_5w_{11}$ and again we are done. Claim 15 is proved. Δ

If $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b) = \emptyset$ then by Claim 15, there is a vertex v which is incident to all edges in $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s)$, implying that $G \backslash \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, v\}$ has at least $s+1$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau > 1$. Let $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b) \neq \emptyset$. Let $y_1z_1 \in \Upsilon(I_a, I_b)$ with $y_1 \in V(I_a^*)$, $z_1 \in V(I_b^*)$ and $y_2z_2 \in \Upsilon(I_b, I_f)$ with $y_2 \in V(I_b^*)$, $z_2 \in V(I_f^*)$. By Claim 1,

$$y_1z_1 \in \{w_1w_6, w_1w_7, w_2w_5, w_2w_6, w_3w_4, w_3w_5\}, \quad y_2z_2 \in \{w_6w_8, w_5w_9\}.$$

Assume first that $y_2z_2 = w_6w_8$. By Claim 12, $y_1z_1 \notin \{w_3w_4, w_3w_5, w_2w_5\}$. Next, by Claim 13, $y_1z_1 \neq w_1w_7$. Hence, $y_1z_1 \in \{w_1w_6, w_2w_6\}$, implying that $G \backslash \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_6\}$ has at least $s+1$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau > 1$. Now let $y_2z_2 = w_5w_9$. By Claim 12, $y_1z_1 \neq w_3w_4$. Further, by Claim 13, $y_1z_1 \notin \{w_1w_6, w_2w_6\}$ and by Claim 14, $y_1z_1 \neq w_1w_7$. So, $y_1z_1 \in \{w_2w_5, w_3w_5\}$, implying that $G \backslash \{\xi_1, ..., \xi_s, w_5\}$ has at least $s+1$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau > 1$.

Case 2.2.3. $|i : |I_i| \geq 4| = 1$.

Let $|I_1| \geq 4$ and $|I_i| = 3$ $(i = 2, 3, ..., s)$. If $|I_1| = 4$ then by Lemma 2, $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) = \emptyset$, implying that $\tau \leq 1$, a contradiction. Then by Claim 10(1), $5 \leq |I_1| \leq 6$. If $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) = \Upsilon(I_1, I_a)$ for some $a \in \{2, ..., s\}$ then by Lemma 2, there is a vertex which is incident to all vertices in $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s)$, implying that $\tau \leq 1$, a contradiction. Otherwise $\Upsilon(I_1, I_a) \neq \emptyset$ and $\Upsilon(I_1, I_b) \neq \emptyset$ for some distinct $a, b \in \{2, ..., s\}$. Clearly, $|I_a| = |I_b| = 3$. Assume w..o.g. that ξ_1, ξ_a, ξ_b occur on C in this order. Let $y_1z_1 \in \Upsilon(I_1, I_a)$ and $y_2z_2 \in \Upsilon(I_1, I_b)$, where $y_1, y_2 \in V(I_1^*)$.

Case 2.2.3.1. $|I_1| = 6$.

Put

$$I_1 = \xi_1 w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 w_5 \xi_2, \ I_a = \xi_a w_6 w_7 \xi_{a+1}, \ I_b = \xi_b w_8 w_9 \xi_{b+1}.$$

Claim 16. Either $y_1 = y_2$ or $y_1z_1 = w_2w_7, y_2z_2 = w_4w_8$.

Proof. By Claim 1,

$$y_1z_1 \in \{w_3w_6, w_4w_6, w_2w_7, w_3w_7\}, \quad y_2z_2 \in \{w_2w_9, w_3w_9, w_3w_8, w_4w_8\}.$$

By Claim 12, if $z_1 = w_6$ and $z_2 = w_9$ then $y_1 = y_2 = w_3$. By the same reason, if either $z_1 = w_7, z_2 = w_9$ or $z_1 = w_6, z_2 = w_8$ then again $y_1 = y_2$. Thus, we have $y_1z_1 = w_2w_7, y_2z_2 = w_4w_8$. Claim 16 is proved. Δ

By Claim 16, either there is a vertex which is incident to all edges in $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)$, implying that $\tau \leq 1$, a contradiction, or w_2 and w_4 belong to all edges in $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)$. We have $w_1w_5 \notin E(G)$ by Claim 13. Next, if $w_1w_3 \in E(G)$ then

$$\xi_1 x_1 \xi_b \overleftarrow{C} \xi_{a+1} x_2 \xi_1 \overrightarrow{C} w_7 w_2 w_1 w_3 w_4 w_8 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_1$$

is longer than C, a contradiction. Let $w_1w_3 \notin E(G)$. Analogously, $w_3w_5 \notin E(G)$. So, $\{w_1,w_3,w_5\}$ is an independent set of vertices and hence

$$G \backslash \{\xi_1,...,\xi_s,w_2,w_4\}$$

has at least $s+3$ components, contradicting the fact that $\tau > 1$.

Case 2.2.3.2. $|I_1| = 5$.

Put $I_1 = \xi_1 w_1 w_2 w_3 w_4 \xi_2$, $I_a = \xi_a w_5 w_6 \xi_{a+1}$ and $I_b = \xi_b w_7 w_8 \xi_{b+1}$. By Claim 1, $y_1 z_1 \in \{w_3w_5, w_2w_6\}$ and $y_2z_2 \in \{w_2w_8, w_3w_7\}$. Then by Claim 12, $y_1 = y_2$, that is there is a vertex which is incident to all edges in $\Upsilon(I_1,...,I_s)$, implying that $\tau \leq 1$, a contradiction.

Case 3. $\overline{p} \geq 2$.

Claim 17. Let $x_1\xi_a, x_2\xi_{a+1} \in E(G)$ for some $a \in \{1,...,s\}$ and let $\overrightarrow{Q} = y\overrightarrow{Q}z$ be a path with

$$y \in V(P),\ z \in V(I_a^*),\ V(Q) \cap V(P \cup C) = \{y,z\}.$$

Then $|I_a| \geq \overline{p}+4$. If $x_1x_2 \in E(G)$ and $y \notin \{x_1,x_2\}$ then $|I_a| \geq \overline{p}+6$.

Proof. Since C is extreme, we have

$$|\xi_a \overrightarrow{C} z| \geq |\xi_a x_1 \overrightarrow{P} y \overrightarrow{Q} z| \geq |x_1 \overrightarrow{P} y| + 2,$$

$$|z \overrightarrow{C} \xi_{a+1}| \geq |z \overleftarrow{Q} y \overrightarrow{P} x_2 \xi_{a+1}| \geq |y \overrightarrow{P} x_2| + 2,$$

implying that

$$|I_a| = |\xi_a \overrightarrow{C} z| + |z \overrightarrow{C} \xi_{a+1}| \geq |x_1 \overrightarrow{P} y| + |y \overrightarrow{P} x_2| + 4 = \overline{p} + 4.$$

Now let $x_1x_2 \in E(G)$. Then

$$|\xi_a \overrightarrow{C} z| \geq |\xi_a x_1 x_2 \overleftarrow{P} y \overrightarrow{Q} z| \geq |y \overrightarrow{P} x_2| + 3,$$

$$|z \overrightarrow{C} \xi_{a+1}| \geq |z \overleftarrow{Q} y \overleftarrow{P} x_1 x_2 \xi_{a+1}| \geq |x_1 \overrightarrow{P} y| + 3,$$

implying that

$$|I_a| = |\xi_a \overrightarrow{C} z| + |z \overrightarrow{C} \xi_{a+1}| \geq |x_1 \overrightarrow{P} y| + |y \overrightarrow{P} x_2| + 6 = \overline{p} + 6.$$

Claim 17 is proved. Δ

Case 3.1. $\overline{p} \leq \delta - 3$.

It follows that $|N_C(x_i)| \geq \delta - \overline{p} \geq 3$ $(i = 1, 2)$. If $N_C(x_1) \neq N_C(x_2)$ then by Lemma 1, $|C| \geq 4\delta - 2\overline{p} \geq 2\delta + 6$, contradicting (1). Hence $N_C(x_1) = N_C(x_2)$, implying that $|I_i| \geq \overline{p} + 2$ $(i = 1, 2, ..., s)$. Clearly, $s \geq |N_C(x_1)| - (|V(P)| - 1) \geq \delta - \overline{p} \geq 3$. If $s \geq \delta - \overline{p} + 1$ then

$$|C| \geq s(\overline{p} + 2) \geq (\delta - \overline{p} + 1)(\overline{p} + 2)$$

$$= (\delta - \overline{p} - 3)(\overline{p} - 2) + 4\delta - 4 \geq 2\delta + 6,$$

again contradicting (1). Hence $s = \delta - \overline{p}$. It means that $x_1x_2 \in E(G)$, that is $G[V(P)]$ is hamiltonian. By symmetric arguments, $N_C(y) = N_C(x_1)$ for each $y \in V(P)$. If $\Upsilon(I_1, I_2, ..., I_s) = \emptyset$ then $\tau \leq 1$, contradicting the hypothesis. Otherwise $\Upsilon(I_a, I_b) \neq \emptyset$ for some elementary segments I_a and I_b. By definition, there is an intermediate path L between I_a and I_b. If $|L| \geq 2$ then by lemma 2,

$$|I_a| + |I_b| \geq 2\overline{p} + 2|L| + 4 \geq 2\overline{p} + 8.$$

Hence

$$|C| = |I_a| + |I_b| + \sum_{i \in \{1,...,s\} \backslash \{a,b\}} |I_i| \geq 2\overline{p} + 8 + (s - 2)(\overline{p} + 2)$$

$$= (\delta - \overline{p} - 3)(\overline{p} - 2) + 4\delta - \overline{p} - 2 \geq 2\delta + 6,$$

contradicting (1). Thus, $|L| = 1$, i.e. $\Upsilon(I_1, I_2, ..., I_s) \subseteq E(G)$. By Lemma 2,

$$|I_a| + |I_b| \geq 2\overline{p} + 2|L| + 4 = 2\overline{p} + 6,$$

which yields $|C| \geq 2\delta + 4$. If $|I_a| + |I_b| \geq 2\overline{p} + 7$, then clearly $c \geq 2\delta + 5$, contradicting (1). Hence, If $|I_a| + |I_b| = 2\overline{p} + 6$ and $|I_i| = \overline{p} + 2$ for each $i \in \{1, ..., s\} \backslash \{a, b\}$. If $|I_a| = |I_b| = \overline{p} + 3$ then by Lemma 2, $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s) = \Upsilon(I_a, I_b)$ and $|\Upsilon(I_a, I_b)| = 1$. This means that $\tau \leq 1$, contradicting the fact that $\tau > 1$. Now let $|I_a| = \overline{p} + 4$ and $|I_b| = \overline{p} + 2$. Put $I_a = \xi_a w_1 w_2 w_3$. Then by Claim 1, w_2 is incident to all edges in $\Upsilon(I_1, ..., I_s)$, implying that $\tau \leq 1$, a contradiction.

Case 3.2. $\overline{p} = \delta - 2$.
We have $|N_C(x_i)| \geq \delta - \overline{p} = 2$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

Case 3.2.1. $N_C(x_1) \neq N_C(x_2)$.
It follows that $s \geq 3$. Clearly, there are at least two elementary segments on C of length at least $\overline{p} + 2$. If $s \geq 5$ then $c \geq 2(\overline{p} + 2) + 2(s - 2) \geq 2\delta + 6$, contradicting (1). Thus, $3 \leq s \leq 4$.

Case 3.2.1.1. $s = 3$.

Claim 18. For each pair ξ_i, ξ_j $(i, j \in \{1, 2, 3\})$ there is a path with endvertices ξ_i, ξ_j and vertex set $\{\xi_i, \xi_j\} \cup V(P)$.

Proof. Assume w.l.o.g. that $i = 1, j = 2$ and $x_1\xi_1 \in E(G)$. If $x_2\xi_2 \in E(G)$ then we are done. Let $x_2\xi_2 \notin E(G)$, implying that $x_1\xi_2 \in E(G)$. Next, if

$x_2\xi_1 \in E(G)$ then again we are done due to $\xi_1 x_2 \overleftarrow{P} x_1 \xi_2$. Hence $x_2\xi_1 \notin E(G)$. But then $|N_C(x_2)| \leq 1$, a contradiction. Claim 18 is proved. $\quad\Delta$

By Claim 18, $c \geq 3(\overline{p}+2) = 3\delta$. If $\delta \geq 5$ then $c \geq 3\delta \geq 2\delta + 5$, contradicting (1). Let $\delta \leq 4$. Recalling also that $\delta = \overline{p} + 2 \geq 4$, we get $\delta = 4$, $\overline{p} = 2$ and $|I_i| = \overline{p} + 2 = 4$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$. Put $P = x_1 y x_2$. By Claim 17, there is no a path $\overrightarrow{Q} = y\overrightarrow{Q}z$ with

$$z \in V(C)\backslash\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}, \; V(Q) \cap V(P \cup C) = \{y, z\}.$$

Observing also that Lemma 2 is applicable in this special case due to Claim 18, we can state that $\Upsilon(I_1, I_2, I_3) = \emptyset$. But then $G\backslash\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}$ has at least four components, contradicting the fact that $\tau > 1$.

Case 3.2.1.2. $s = 4$.

If $N_C(x_1) \cap N_C(x_2) \neq \emptyset$ then clearly there are at least three elementary segments of length at least $\overline{p}+2$, which yields $c \geq 3(\overline{p}+2) + 2(s-3) > 2\delta + 5$, contradicting (1). Let $N_C(x_1) \cap N_C(x_2) = \emptyset$. Since there are at least two elementary segments of length at least $\overline{p}+2$, we have $c \geq 2(\overline{p}+2) + 2(s-2) \geq 2\delta + 4$. By (1), we can assume w.l.o.g. that $|I_1| = |I_3| = 2$ and $|I_2| = |I_4| = \overline{p}+2$. By Claim 17, there is no a path $\overrightarrow{Q} = y\overrightarrow{Q}z$ such that

$$y \in V(P), \; z \in V(C)\backslash\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4\}, \; V(Q) \cap V(P \cup C) = \{y, z\}.$$

Next, if $\overrightarrow{L} = y\overrightarrow{L}z \in \Upsilon(I_1, I_2)$, where $y \in V(I_1^*)$ and $z \in V(I_2^*)$, then

$$c \geq |\xi_1 y \overrightarrow{L} z \overleftarrow{C} \xi_2 x_1 \overrightarrow{P} x_2 \xi_3 \overrightarrow{C} \xi_1| \geq |C| - |z\overrightarrow{C}\xi_3| + \overline{p} + 2,$$

implying that $|z\overrightarrow{C}\xi_3| \geq \overline{p} + 2$. But Then $|I_2| > \overline{p} + 2$, a contradiction. Hence $\Upsilon(I_1, I_2) = \emptyset$. Analogously, $\Upsilon(I_1, I_4) = \Upsilon(I_2, I_3) = \Upsilon(I_3, I_4) = \emptyset$. Further, if $\Upsilon(I_2, I_4) \neq \emptyset$ then we can argue as in proof of Lemma 2 to show that $|I_2| + |I_4| \geq 2\overline{p} + 6$, a contradiction. Hence, $\Upsilon(I_2, I_4) = \emptyset$. By a symmetric arguments, $\Upsilon(I_1, I_3) = \emptyset$. So, $\Upsilon(I_1, I_2, I_3, I_4) = \emptyset$, implying that $G\backslash\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3, \xi_4\}$ has at least five components, which contradicts the fact that $\tau > 1$.

Case 3.2.2. $N_C(x_1) = N_C(x_2)$.

If $s \geq 4$ then $c \geq s(\overline{p}+2) \geq 4\delta \geq 2\delta + 8$, contradicting (1). Let $2 \leq s \leq 3$.

Case 3.2.2.1. $s = 3$.

If $\overline{p} \geq 3$ then $\delta = \overline{p} + 2 \geq 5$ and $c \geq 3(\overline{p}+2) = 3\delta \geq 2\delta + 5$, contradicting (1). Hence $\overline{p} = 2$ and $\delta = 4$. By (1), $c = 12 = 2\delta + 4$ and $|I_i| = 4$ $(i = 1, 2, 3)$. Put $P = x_1 y x_2$. By Claim 17, there is no a path $\overrightarrow{Q} = y\overrightarrow{Q}z$ such that

$$z \in V(C)\backslash\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3\}, \; V(Q) \cap V(P \cup C) = \{y, z\}.$$

By Lemma 2, $\Upsilon(I_1, I_2, I_3) = \emptyset$, contradicting the fact that $\tau > 1$.

Case 3.2.2.2. $s = 2$.

It follows that $x_1x_2 \in E(G)$. Since $\kappa \geq 3$, there is a path $\overrightarrow{Q} = y\overrightarrow{Q}z$ such that

$$y \in V(P),\ z \in V(C)\backslash\{\xi_1, \xi_2\},\ V(Q) \cap V(P \cup C) = \{y, z\}.$$

Assume w.l.o.g. that $z \in V(I_1^*)$. By Claim 17, $|I_1| \geq \overline{p} + 6$. Observing also that $|I_2| \geq \overline{p} + 2$, we get $c \geq 2\overline{p} + 8 = 2\delta + 6$, contradicting (1).

Case 3.3. $\overline{p} = \delta - 1$.

It follows that $|N_C(x_i)| \geq \delta - \overline{p} = 1$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

Case 3.3.1. $|N_C(x_i)| \geq 2$ $(i = 1, 2)$.

If $N_C(x_1) \neq N_C(x_2)$ then by Lemma 1, $|C| \geq 2\overline{p} + 8 = 2\delta + 6$, contradicting (1). Hence, $N_C(x_1) = N_C(x_2)$. By the hypothesis, $s \geq 2$ and $|I_i| \geq \overline{p} + 2 = \delta + 1$ $(i = 1, ..., s)$. If $s \geq 3$ then $c \geq s(\overline{p} + 2) \geq 3(\delta + 1) \geq 2\delta + 6$, contradicting (1). Let $s = 2$. Since $\kappa \geq 3$, there is a path $\overrightarrow{Q} = y\overrightarrow{Q}z$ such that

$$y \in V(P),\ z \in V(C)\backslash\{\xi_1, \xi_2\},\ V(Q) \cap V(P \cup C) = \{y, z\}.$$

Assume w.l.o.g. that $z \in V(I_1^*)$. If $x_1x_2 \in E(G)$ then by Claim 17, $|I_1| \geq \overline{p} + 6$. This implies $c \geq 2\overline{p} + 8 = 2\delta + 6$, contradicting (1). Let $x_1x_2 \notin E(G)$, which yields $x_1w, x_2w \in E(G)$ for each $w \in V(P)\backslash\{x_1, x_2\}$. If $\overline{p} \geq 3$ then either $y^+ \notin \{x_1, x_2\}$ or $y^- \notin \{x_1, x_2\}$, say $y^+ \notin \{x_1, x_2\}$. Then $x_1y^+ \in E(G)$ and therefore,

$$|z\overleftarrow{Q}y\overleftarrow{P}x_1y^+\overrightarrow{P}x_2\xi_2| \geq \overline{p} + 2.$$

Observe also that $y \notin \{x_1, x_2\}$ and $|\xi_1\overrightarrow{C}z| \geq |\xi_1x_1\overrightarrow{P}y\overrightarrow{Q}z| \geq 3$. Then $|I_1| \geq |\xi_1\overrightarrow{C}z| + |z\overrightarrow{C}\xi_2| \geq \overline{p} + 2$ and hence $c = |I_1| + |I_2| \geq 2\overline{p} + 7 = 2\delta + 5$, contradicting (1). Now let $\overline{p} = 2$, implying that $\delta = 3$ and

$$|\xi_1\overrightarrow{C}z| = |z\overrightarrow{C}\xi_2| = 3,\ |I_2| = 4,\ Q = yz,\ |C| = 10 = 2\delta + 4.$$

By arguing as in proof of Lemma 2, we can show that there are no edges connecting the interior vertices of the segments $\xi_1\overrightarrow{C}z$, $z\overrightarrow{C}\xi_2$ and I_2. Thus, $G\backslash\{\xi_1, \xi_2, z\}$ has at least four components, contradicting the fact that $\tau > 1$.

Case 3.3.2. Either $|N_C(x_1)| = 1$ or $|N_C(x_2)| = 1$.

Assume w.l.o.g. that $|N_C(x_1)| = 1$ and $N_C(x_1) = \{\xi_1\}$. It follows that $x_1x_2 \in E(G)$.

Case 3.3.2.1. $N_C(x_1) \neq N_C(x_2)$.

Assume w.l.o.g. that $x_2\xi_2 \in E(G)$. If $s \geq 4$ then $c \geq 2(\overline{p} + 2) + 2(s - 2) \geq 2\delta + 6$, contradicting (1). Let $s \leq 3$.

Case 3.3.2.1.1. $s = 3$.

It follows that $c \geq 2(\overline{p} + 2) + 2 = 2\delta + 4$. By (1), $|I_1| = |I_3| = \delta + 1$ and $|I_2| = 2$. Put $I_2 = \xi_2z\xi_3$. If $\Upsilon(I_1, I_2) \neq \emptyset$, that is $yz \in E(G)$ for some $y \in V(I_1^*)$, then

$$|C| \geq |\xi_1x_1\overrightarrow{P}x_2\xi_2\overleftarrow{C}yz\overrightarrow{C}\xi_1| \geq |C| - |\xi_1\overrightarrow{C}y| + \overline{p} + 2,$$

implying that $|\xi_1\overrightarrow{C}y| \geq \overline{p}+2$. But then $|I_1| \geq \overline{p}+3 = \delta+2$, a contradiction. Hence $\Upsilon(I_1,I_2)=\emptyset$. Analogously, $\Upsilon(I_2,I_3)=\emptyset$. If $\Upsilon(I_1,I_3)\neq\emptyset$ then we can argue as in proof of Lemma 2, to show that $|I_1|+|I_2| \geq 2\overline{p}+6 = 2\delta+4$, a contradiction. So, $\Upsilon(I_1,I_2,I_3)=\emptyset$. Further, if there is a path $\overrightarrow{Q} = w_1\overrightarrow{Q}w_2$ such that

$$w_1 \in V(P),\ w_2 \in V(C)\backslash\{\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3\},\ V(Q)\cap V(P\cup C)=\{w_1,w_2\},$$

then clearly $w_2 \notin V(I_2^*)$ (since $|I_2|=2$) and $w_2 \notin V(I_1^*)\cup V(I_3^*)$ by Claim 17. Otherwise, $G\backslash\{\xi_1,\xi_2,\xi_3\}$ has at least four components, contradicting the fact that $\tau > 1$.

Case 3.3.2.1.2. $s=2$.

Since $\kappa \geq 3$, there is a path $\overrightarrow{Q} = y\overrightarrow{Q}z$ such that

$$y \in V(P),\ z \in V(C)\backslash\{\xi_1,\xi_2\},\ V(Q)\cap V(P\cup C)=\{y,z\}.$$

Clearly, $y \notin \{x_1,x_2\}$. Assume w.l.o.g. that $z \in V(I_1^*)$. By Claim 17, $|I_1| \geq \overline{p}+6$, implying that $c \geq 2\overline{p}+8 = 2\delta+6$, contradicting (1).

Case 3.3.2.2. $N_C(x_1)=N_C(x_2)$.

It follows that $N_C(x_1)=N_C(x_2)=\{x_1\}$ and $x_1w \in E(G)$ for each $w \in V(P)\backslash\{x_1\}$. Since $\kappa \geq 3$, there is a path $\overrightarrow{Q} = y\overrightarrow{Q}z$ such that

$$y \in V(P),\ z \in V(C)\backslash\{\xi_1\},\ V(Q)\cap V(P\cup C)=\{y,z\}.$$

Clearly, $y \notin \{x_1,x_2\}$. Since $x_1y^+ \in E(G)$, we can replace P with $y\overleftarrow{P}x_1y^+\overrightarrow{P}x_2$. Then we can argue as in Case 3.3.2.1.

Case 3.4. $\overline{p} \geq \delta$.

If $|C| \geq \kappa(\delta+1)$ then clearly $|C| \geq 3(\delta+1) \geq 2\delta+6$, contradicting (1). Otherwise, by Lemma 3, $|N_C(x_i)| \geq 2$ $(i=1,2)$. Since there are at least two elementary segments on C of length at least $\overline{p}+2 \geq \delta+2$, we have $|C| \geq 2(\overline{p}+2)+2 \geq 2\delta+6$ when $s \geq 3$, contradicting (1). Now let $s=2$. By (1),

$$\overline{p}=\delta,\ |I_1|=|I_2|=\delta+2,\ c=2\delta+4.$$

Since $\kappa \geq 3$, there is a path $\overrightarrow{Q} = y\overrightarrow{Q}z$ such that

$$y \in V(P),\ z \in V(C)\backslash\{\xi_1,\xi_2\},\ V(Q)\cap V(P\cup C)=\{y,z\}.$$

Assume w.l.o.g. that $z \in V(I_1^*)$. But then, by Claim 17, $|I_1| \geq \overline{p}+4 = \delta+4$, a contradiction. ■

References

[1] D. Bauer and E. Schmeichel, Long cycles in tough graphs, Technical Report 8612, Stevens Institute of Technology, 1986.

[2] J.A. Bondy and U.S.R. Murty, Graph Theory with Applications. Macmillan, London and Elsevier, New York (1976).

[3] G. A. Dirac, Some theorems on abstract graphs, Proc. London, Math. Soc., 2 (1952) 69-81.

[4] H.-J. Voss, Bridges of longest circuits and of longest paths in graphs, Beitrage zur Graphentheorie und deren Anwendungen, Vorgetr. auf dem. int. Kolloq., Oberhof (DDR) (1977) 275-286.

Institute for Informatics and Automation Problems
National Academy of Sciences
P. Sevak 1, Yerevan 0014, Armenia
E-mail: zhora@ipia.sci.am